

On the Large N Limit, W_∞ Strings , Star Products , AdS/CFT duality Nonlinear Sigma Models on AdS Spaces and Chern-Simons p -Branes

Carlos Castro

Center for Theoretical Studies of Physical Systems
Clark Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA. 30314, USA
e-mail : castro@ctsps.cau.edu

June , 2001

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in *curved* m -dim backgrounds can be subsumed by a higher $m + n$ dimensional gravitational theory which can be identified to an m -dim generally invariant gauge theory of diff N , where N is an n -dim internal space (Cho, Sho, Park, Yoon). Based on these findings, a very plausible geometrical interpretation of the AdS/CFT correspondence could be given. Conformally invariant sigma models in $D = 2n$ dimensions with target non-compact $SO(2n, 1)$ groups are reviewed. Despite the non-compact nature of the $SO(2n, 1)$, the classical action and Hamiltonian are positive definite. Instanton field configurations are found to correspond geometrically to conformal “stereographic” mappings of R^{2n} into the Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} spaces. The relation between Self Dual branes and Chern-Simons branes , High Dimensional Knots , follows. A detailed discussion on W_∞ symmetry is given and we outline the Vasiliev procedure to construct an action involving higher spin massless fields in AdS_4 . This AdS_4 spacetime higher spin theory should have a one-to-one correspondence to noncritical W_∞ strings propagating on $AdS_4 \times S^7$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Conformal invariance in physics has had a tremendous success. One of the main reasons why conformal symmetry in 2D CFT has been so powerful is because the conformal group in two dimensions is infinite dimensional which allows to solve the theory exactly. CFT has had numerous applications in string theory, critical phenomena in statistical mechanics, 2D Gravity, Random Matrix models, 2D Topological QFT,...Imposing conformal invariance at the quantum level was instrumental in constraining the types of curved backgrounds on which strings can propagate ; i.e they must be solutions of the Einstein-Yang-Mills equations. Extensions of CFT based on the Virasoro algebra spawned the development of W_∞ symmetries , that are higher conformal spin extensions of the Virasoro algebra., $s = 2, 3, 4, \dots \infty$. Gauging such W_∞ symmetries furnished W_∞ gravity and allowed the construction of W_∞ -strings ; i.e higher spin fields in the target spacetime [14].

Conformally invariant $SO(2n + 1)$ σ models in $2n$ dimensions were of crucial importance in the construction of conformally invariant Lagrangians, with vanishing world-volume cosmological constant, for bosonic p -branes ($p + 1 = 2n$) [1,2]. In particular, Self

Dual unit charge instanton solutions were found which correspond to conformal (stereographic) maps from $R^{2n} \rightarrow S^{2n}$. These models [1] were the higher-dimensional extension of the $SO(5)$ σ models of Feisager and Leinaas in 4D [3]. When $p + 1 = odd$, the authors [2] also built Lagrangians for bosonic extendons (branes) , however, conformal invariance was lost. These sort of Skyrme-like Lagrangians (quartic derivatives) allowed the author to construct a *polynomial* action for the spinning membrane after a Weyl covariantization process of the Dolan-Tchrakian action was performed [4]. The supersymmetric action was invariant under a *modified* Q supersymmetry transformation , a $Q + S + K$ sum rule, and invariant also under the *homothecy* transformations . A different type of a Weyl invariant spinning membrane action was constructed by [5] at the price of being *non – polynomial* in the fields, complicating the quantization program. The relevance of these quartic derivatives Skyrme type of actions for the spinning membrane has been recently pointed out by Cho et al [69] , in a different context, as a candidate effective action for $SU(2)$ QCD, based on the Faddeev-Niemi conjecture.

Conformal Field Theories have risen to more prominence recently mainly due to Maldacena’s conjecture on the AdS/CFT duality between M/string theory on $AdS_d \times S^{D-d}$ backgrounds and CFT’s living on the projective boundary of the AdS_d spaces [6]. Relevant σ models with target spaces on certain supergroups have been used to describe *CFT* on AdS backgrounds with Ramond-Ramond (RR) Flux [7]. In particular, various exact 2D *CFT* on AdS_{2n+1} backgrounds have been constructed recently that could be used in building superstring theories propagating on AdS_{2n+1} backgrounds [8]. These σ models were based on the standard $SL(2, R)$ WZNW model; i.e σ models on group manifolds with WZNW terms.

Conventional σ -models are based on compact groups like $SO(2n), SU(n), \dots$. In general, compact simple groups are used mainly to simplify the quantization program; i.e the quantization is not riddled with the standard problems of ghosts due to the non-positive definite inner products; uniqueness of the WZ functional; solvability of the model; positivity of the Hamiltonian [29,30]. The authors [29] studied $SO(N, 1), SU(N, 1)$ non-compact sigma models in two-dimensions and have shown that a dynamical mass generation with asymptotic freedom is possible and that a sensible unitary quantization program is possible by recurring to a selection rule in the Hilbert space of states (only positive definite norms are allowed).

Setting aside these technical subtleties on the compact simple character of the group, and motivated by the recent findings on Anti de Sitter spaces, we will study conformally invariant (noncompact) $SO(2n-1, 2)$ σ -models in $2n$ even dimensions; i.e maps from R^{2n} to $SO(2n-1, 2)$ and $SO(2n, 1)$. Instanton solutions are found for the latter case, corresponding to conformal, “stereographic “ maps from R^{2n} to $SO(2n, 1)$. The $SO(2n, 1)/SO(2n)$ homogeneous group manifold is topologically the Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} space whose natural isometry group is $SO(2n, 1)$.

The Lorentz signature AdS_{2n} space of constant negative scalar curvature (negative cosmological constant) can be viewed as the $2n$ -dim hypersurface embedded in a pseudo-Euclidean $2n + 1$ -dim manifold with coordinates $y^A = y^0, y^1, \dots, y^{2n}$ and a diagonal metric of signature $(d - 2, 2)$ given by $\eta_{AB} = diag (-, +, +, \dots, +, -)$ with length squared $y^A y_A$ preserved by the isometry group $SO(2n - 1, 2)$. The AdS_{2n} is defined as the geometrical

locus :

$$y^A y^B \eta_{AB} = -R^2. \quad (1a)$$

and has the topology $S^1(time) \times R^{d-1}(space)$. The two timelike directions compactify into a circle S^1 giving closed timelike geodesics. Whereas de Sitter spaces in d -dimensions requires the $(d-1, 1)$ signature instead : $\eta_{AB} = diag(-, +, +, \dots, +)$ and also a change in the sign in the r.h.s. of eq-(1) :

$$y^A y^B \eta_{AB} = R^2. \quad (1b)$$

and have for topology $R^1(time) \times S^{d-1}(space)$, a *pseudosphere* of positive constant scalar curvature (positive cosmological constant). Signature subtleties will be of crucial importance in the construction of instanton solutions. The ordinary Hodge dual star operation is *signature* dependent. For example, the double Hodge dual star operation acting on a rank p differential form in a $4D$ space satisfies :

$$**F = s(-1)^{p(4-p)} F. \quad s = +1 \text{ for } (4, 0); (2, 2). \quad s = -1 \text{ for } (3, 1); (1, 3) \quad (2)$$

where we have displayed the explicit signature dependence in the values of s . Hence, for a rank two form F , in Euclidean $4D$ space and with signature $(2, 2)$ (Atiyah-Ward spaces) one can find solutions to the (anti) self dual YM equations : $*F = \pm F$. There are no (real valued solutions) YM instantons in $4D$ Minkowski space since in the latter one has imaginary eigenvalues : $*F = \pm iF$.

The *two temporal* variables are required in the embedding process of AdS_{2n} into the pseudo-Euclidean space $R^{2n-1,2}$. We will show, in fact, that it is the noncompact $SO(2n, 1)$ σ -model, instead of the $SO(2n-1, 2)$ σ -model, that has instanton solutions obeying a double self duality condition similar to the one obeyed by the BPST instanton [9]. It was shown [1] that the Euclidean (compact) $SO(2n+1)$ σ model instanton solutions are directly related to instanton solutions of $SO(2n)$ Generalized YM (GYM) theories in R^{2n} [10] obeying the forementioned double self duality condition.

In view of the conformally invariant σ models/GYM connection in higher dimensions, the next step is to study p -branes (with $p+1 = 2n$) propagating on flat backgrounds. In particular, the critical case when the dimensionality of the target space is saturated, $D = p+1 = 2n$. Dolan-Tchrakian [1,2] constructed the corresponding conformally invariant actions with vanishing world-volume cosmological constant, based on these conformally invariant σ models in $2n$ -dimensions. Upon the algebraic elimination of the auxiliary world-volume metric, Dolan and Tchrakian have shown that one recovers the Dirac-Nambu-Goto action :

$$S = T \int d^{2n} \sigma \sqrt{|det G_{\alpha\beta}|}. \quad G_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu. \quad (3)$$

where $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is the induced world-volume metric resulting from the embedding of the $p+1 = 2n$ hypervolume into the D -dim target spacetime. When the spacetime dimension is saturated : $D = p+1 = 2n$ the square root of the Dirac-Nambu-Goto action simplifies and one obtains the usual Jacobian for the change of variables from σ to X . In such case

the Nambu-Goto action is topological : there are no *bulk* physical local transverse degrees of freedom . Such topological actions have been studied by Zaikov [11].

$$S = T \int d^{2n} \sigma \partial_{\sigma^1} X^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{\sigma^{2n}} X^{\mu_{2n}}. \quad (4)$$

where T is the p -brane (extendon) tension. For a p -brane whose world-volume has a natural boundary , an integration (Gauss law) yields :

$$S_{CS} = T \int_{\partial V} d^{2n-1} \zeta X^{\mu_1} \wedge \partial_{\zeta^1} X^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{\zeta^{2n-1}} X^{\mu_{2n}}. \quad (5)$$

one then recovers the action for the Chern-Simons p' brane whose $p' + 1$ world-volume variables , ζ^a , $a = 1, 2, \dots, p' + 1$, are integrated over the $2n - 1$ -dim boundary ∂V of the $2n$ -dim domain V associated with the world volume of the open p -brane. The value of p' must be such that $p' + 1 = p = 2n - 1$ [11]. Zaikov concluded that these topological Chern Simons p' -branes exit only in target spacetimes of dimensionality $D = p' + 2$. To ensure translational invariance, the variables X^μ must be understood as $X^\mu - X^\mu(0)$.

In particular, when the dimensionality of the target spacetime is saturated , $D = p + 1$, one can construct, in addition , self-dual p -brane (extendon) solutions obeying the equations of motion and constraints (resulting from $p + 1$ reparametrization invariance of the world-volume) that are directly related to these topological Chern-Simons p' -branes. This holds provided $p' + 1 = p$ and the embedding manifold is Euclidean [12]. Furthermore, when $D = p + 1 = 2n$ one has conformal invariance as well [1,2]. It is in this fashion how the relationship between the self dual p -branes and Chern Simons $p' = p - 1$ branes emerges .

This is roughly the analogy with Witten's discovery of the one-to-one relationship between 3D Nonabelian Chern-Simons theories and 2D rational CFT. [13]. Chern-Simons p' -branes have codimension *two* and for this reason they are the Higher Dimensional extensions of Knots (embeddings of loops S^1 into three-dimensions). In a recent book by Ranicki [47] , the deep connections between High Dimensional Knots , Codimension q surgery and algebraic **K** and **L** Theory are expounded in full mathematical rigour. Because Chern-Simons branes have codimension 2 , one can have two different CS branes living in two complementary dimensions , d_1, d_2 , such that $(p_1 + 2) + (p_2 + 2) = p_1 + p_2 + 4 = d_1 + d_2 = D$. The latter relation is exactly the same one between a p_1 -brane and its EM dual p_2 -brane living in D dimensions. In this sense, these two CS branes (high dim knots) intersect *transversely* from the $D = d_1 + d_2$ perspective and can be seen as EM duals of each-other.

The contents of this work are the following :

In section **2** we will show that the Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} space is an instanton solution of the $SO(2n, 1)$ nonlinear conformally invariant σ models defined in R^{2n} . In section **3.1** we will establish the relation between self dual p -branes and Chern-Simons p' -branes ($p = p' + 1$) defined in D -dim (pseudo) Euclidean backgrounds when $D = p + 1 = p' + 2$. The correspondence between Self Dual branes and Chern-Simons ones is not one-to-one. Examples of Chern-Simons branes that are not related to self dual branes are presented. This occurs when the world volume of a boundary is not necessarily the boundary of a world volume. In **3.2** we will review how spacetime filling p -branes can be obtained directly from a Moyal deformation quantization of the Lie algebraic structure of

Generalized Yang Mills theories [10] . We also discuss actions with a Topological θ term and show that the large N limit of quenched reduced **QCD** in $D = 4$ admits hadronic bags and Chern-Simons membranes with *nontrivial* boundary dynamics for extended excitations. Using the Zariski star product associated with deformations of Nambu-Poisson brackets [63] allows to see that similar Chern-Simons branes actions can be obtained from the large N limit of $SU(N)$ Matrix Chern-Simons models. Matrix Topological BF models [62] in the large N limit yield Kalb-Ramond couplings to p -branes.

In section 4.1 we show how an action inspired from a BF and Chern-Simons model based on the AdS_4 isometry group $SO(3, 2)$, with the inclusion of a Higgs potential term, furnishes the MacDowell-Mansouri-Chamseddine-West action for gravity with a cosmological constant and the Gauss-Bonnet Topological invariant . The AdS_4 space is a vacuum solution of this model. In section 4.2 we study the Lagrangians displaying new couplings of matter and gravity to $SU(N)$ Yang Mills theory and arrive to a Chern-Simons-like action for a *dualized* $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ field. In the case of AdS_4 , the correspondence (not an identity) to a Chern-Simons membrane living on the boundary $S^1 \times S^2$, and the large N limit of this *dualized* $SU(N)$ Chern-Simons-like action is pointed out.

In 5 we show that there are ambiguities in taking the $N = \infty$ limit of $SU(N)$ YM in *curved* backgrounds , by using the naive quenched-reduced approximation, that generated the Dolan-Tchrakian action, in the conformal gauge, for 3-branes moving in a flat target spacetime backgrounds . The correct large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in *curved* backgrounds requires the Fedosov product and trace [18] and is shown to be related to a generally invariant m -dimensional gauge theory of (volume-preserving) diffeomorphisms of an internal n -dim manifold. Such theory can be identified to a (restricted) Einstein gravitational theory in $m+n$ -dimensions [37] , and in this particular case : $m = n$, corresponds to the *cotangent* space (bundle) of an m -dim symplectic manifold. A particular example of gauge theories based on infinite dimensional algebras are the gauge theories of the Virasoro algebra (diffeomorphisms of S^1) [38] ; the w_∞ algebra of symplectic diffeomorphisms in two-dimensions (R^2) [39] and the w_∞^∞ algebras, the infinite colour limit of w_∞ [61] , of symplectic diffeomorphisms in four dimensions. Based on these findings, a very plausible geometrical interpretation of the Maldacena AdS/CFT duality could be given. This is presented at the end of this section.

Finally in the concluding section a detailed discussion is presented on the role that W_∞ algebras and W_∞ strings have in the AdS/CFT correspondence. The star product of Vasiliev's construction of higher spin gauge theories $s = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \infty$ in curved AdS backgrounds is used to describe a deformation of a BF-CS-Higgs action of 4.1. Such Vasiliev deformed action governs the nonlinear and nonlocal dynamics of the higher spin fields in AdS_4 spacetime. We argue why the latter theory may have a one-to-one correspondence with a *noncritical* W_∞ string propagating in $AdS_4 \times S^7$.

II Euclidean AdS_{2n} as $SO(2n, 1)$ σ -model Instantons

The Euclideanized AdS_4 background will be shown in this section to be an instanton field configuration of the conformally invariant $SO(4, 1)$ σ model in R^4 obeying the double self-duality condition (to be described below by eq.(8b)) . Conformally invariant Euclidean $SO(5)$ σ -models in R^4 had a one-to-one correspondence with the conformally invariant $SO(4)$ YM in R^4 whose instanton solutions corresponded geometrically to the stereographic

maps of S^4 [1] onto R^4 . It is natural to see whether instanton configurations of the conformally invariant $SO(4,1)$ σ -models in R^4 obeying a double self duality condition exist as well. The answer is yes and these correspond to the Euclidean signature AdS_4 spaces.

The importance to establish this is because one may generalize these solutions to higher dimensions . For example, instantons solutions of the $SO(12,1)$ conformally invariant nonlinear sigma-models in $D = 12$ dimensions (like R^{12}) correspond geometrically to the Euclidean signature AdS_{12} spaces. It is well known that higher dimensional nonlinear sigma models are related to branes propagating on curved backgrounds. In particular, $SO(4k)$ Generalized Yang-Mills (GYM) theories in R^{4k} admit a Moyal deformation quantization whose classical $\hbar = 0$ limit furnishes the Dolan-Tchakrian actions for p -branes ($p + 1 = 4k$) moving in flat backgrounds (in the conformal gauge) [10, 34].

When the group is compact like $SO(2n)$, for example, the latter GYM are defined by Lagrangians [1,10] in R^D where $D = 2n = 4k$:

$$L = tr (F_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{2k}}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2k}} \Sigma_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2k}})^2 = (F_2 \wedge F_2 \dots \wedge F_2)^2. \quad 2n = 4k = D \quad (6)$$

with all indices antisymmetrized. $\Sigma_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots}$ is the totally antisymmetrized product (on the internal indices α) of the product of k factors of the $2^{2k-1} \times 2^{2k-1}$ matrices $\Sigma_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$ corresponding to the chiral representation of $SO(4k)$.

The exhibiting relationship between the instanton field configurations of the $SO(5)$ σ model and the $SO(4)$ YM system in R^4 were given by [1] . The order parameter field of the $SO(5)$ σ model is the $SO(5)$ vector $n^i(x)$ obeying the constraint $n^i(x)n_i(x) = 1$. The definition of the gauge fields and field strengths for the YM system in terms of the $n^i(x)$ are :

$$A_\mu^{ij} = n^i(x)\partial_\mu n^j(x) - n^j(x)\partial_\mu n^i(x). \quad (7a)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{ij}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu^{ij} - A_\mu^{ik} A_\nu^{lj} \eta_{kl} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu = \partial_{[\mu} n^{[i} \partial_{\nu]} n^{j]}. \quad (7b)$$

due to the constraint $n^i n_i = 1$ the former field strength coincides with the definition given below by eq-(8c).

An additional constraint allows to reduce $SO(5) \rightarrow SO(4)$:

$$D_\mu n^i(x) = (\delta_j^i \partial_\mu + A_\mu^{ij}) n^j(x) = 0. \quad (7c)$$

After using eqs-(7a,7b,7c) the authors [1] have shown that instanton solutions obey a double self duality condition satisfied by the BPST instanton [9] :

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_1 \beta_2} F_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} = \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1 \nu_2} F_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^{\nu_1 \nu_2} \quad (8a)$$

contrasted with the double self-duality condition exhibited by the $SO(5)$ σ model in R^4 [1] :

$$\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_5} n^{i_5}(x) F_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{i_3 i_4} = \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1 \nu_2} F_{i_1 i_2}^{\nu_1 \nu_2} \quad (8b)$$

that stemmed from the σ model Lagrangian in $D = 4$

$$S = \int d^4x \frac{1}{2(2!)} (F_{\mu\nu}^{ij})^2. \quad F_{\mu\nu}^{ij} = \partial_{[\mu} n^i(x) \partial_{\nu]} n^j(x) - \partial_{[\mu} n^j(x) \partial_{\nu]} n^i(x). \quad (8c)$$

Similar type of actions are generalized for higher rank objects :

$$S = \int d^{2n}x \frac{1}{2(n!)} (F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}^{i_1 \dots i_n})^2. \quad F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \partial_{[\mu_1} n^{i_1}(x) \dots \partial_{\mu_n]} n^{i_n}(x) \quad (8d)$$

The unit charge instanton solutions of eq-(8b) that minimize the action (8c) and correspond to the $SO(4)$ YM instanton solutions of eq-(8a) were found to be precisely the ones corresponding to the stereographic projections (conformal mappings) from $R^4 \rightarrow S^4$ [1] :

$$n^a(x) = \frac{2x^a}{1+x^2}; a = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad n^5(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{x^2 + 1}. \quad \sum_{a=1}^{a=4} (n^a)^2 + (n^5)^2 = 1. \quad (9)$$

Things differ now for the (noncompact) $SO(2n, 1)$ σ -models due to the subtle signature changes. Now we must see whether or not the $SO(2n, 1)$ vector $n^i(x)$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1$ satisfy the double self duality condition

$$\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_n i_{n+1} \dots i_{2n} i_{2n+1}} n^{i_{2n+1}} F_{\mu_{n+1} \dots \mu_{2n}}^{i_{n+1} i_{n+2} \dots i_{2n}} = \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{n+1} \dots \mu_{2n}} F_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n} \quad (10)$$

The “stereographic” maps from R^4 to the Euclidean signature AdS_4 is defined by :

$$n^a(x) = \frac{2x^\mu}{1-x^2}; a = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad n^5(x) = \frac{1+x^2}{1-x^2}. \quad (11)$$

$$x^2 \equiv (x^0)^2 + (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2. \quad (12)$$

obey the condition :

$$n^i n_i \equiv (n^1)^2 + (n^2)^2 + (n^3)^2 + (n^4)^2 - (n^5)^2 = -1. \quad (13)$$

which is just the $SO(4, 1)$ invariant norm of the vector $n^i(x) = (n^1(x), \dots, n^5(x))$ and satisfy the double-self duality condition (8b) as we shall see.

Notice that the solutions (11) are naturally *singular* at $x^2 = 1$ which correspond to the projective/conformal boundaries of AdS spaces at infinity These singularities are naturally due to the noncompact nature of AdS spaces which have *infinite* volume. Hyperbolic manifolds of finite volume are obtained by modding out by a discrete subgroup. We shall not be concerned with these singularity issues in this work since our main concern is to show that the instanton solutions given by eqs-(11) are genuine solutions to the double self duality equations. Before establishing this fact it is important to study the signature dependence in the definition of the duality operation. For the particular case when $2n = 4$ (it can be generalized to any $2n$) the double duality star operation is defined :

$$*F \equiv \frac{1}{(2!)^2} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1 \nu_2} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5} n^{i_5} F_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{i_3 i_4}. \quad (14)$$

The $**$ acting on F is :

$$**F = (-1)(-1)^{s_b}(-1)^{s_g}(-1)^f F. \quad (15)$$

where (i) the first factor of -1 stems from the $n^i n_i = -1$ condition. (ii) The second factor stems from the signature of the base space R^4 . (iii) The third factor stems from the group signature which is $(-1)^1 = -1$ for $SO(4, 1)$. (iv) The last one, $(-1)^f$, are additional factors resulting from the permutation of the indices in the $\epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{2n}}$ and $\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_{2n+1}}$ tensors. These are similar to the $(-1)^{r(D-r)}$ factor appearing in the double Hodge operation. Where r represents the rank of F . In this case they yield the factor 1.

From (15) one immediately concludes that the signature of the base manifold must be : $(+, +, +, +)$ so that

$$**F = (-1)(-1)^{s_b}(-1)^{s_g}(-1)^f F = (-1)(+1)(-1)(1)F = F \Rightarrow (*)^2 = 1 \Rightarrow * = \pm 1. \quad (16a)$$

and one has a well defined double (anti) self duality condition.

$$*F = \pm F. \quad (16b)$$

For higher rank fields, $F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ it follows from (15) that we must have in addition that $n = \text{even}$. Therefore we conclude that the base manifold must be of Euclidean signature type and have for dimension: R^{4k} and the target group background $SO(4k, 1)$, whose topology is that of the Euclideanized AdS_{4k} . Thus, the maps are signature-preserving and the dimensionality of the base manifold must be a multiple of four, $D = 4k$.

It still remains to prove that the $SO(4k, 1)$ σ -field $n^A(x)$ given by eqs-(11) solve the double self duality condition (10). In the ordinary *compact* case, for spheres S^{2n} related to compact $SO(2n + 1)$ sigma models, the instanton solutions saturate the minimum of the action to ensure topological stability. Also these compact instanton solutions yield a finite valued action; i.e the fields fall off sufficiently fast at infinity so the action does not blow up. This will not be the case for the noncompact $SO(2n, 1)$ sigma models discussed here. The *infinite* volume of AdS_{2n} spaces will yield a divergent action despite the fact that the action density is *finite*. This happens also with the Einstein-Hilbert action for AdS gravity. It diverges because the AdS volume is infinite. But the scalar curvature is everywhere constant and negative despite the fact that the metric *diverges* at the boundaries.

Physically the $SO(2n, 1)$ instanton solutions realized by the Euclideanized AdS_{2n} spaces represent the *tunnelling* of a closed p -brane (for $p + 1 = 2n$) from $x_o = -\infty$ to $x_o = +\infty$. The Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} is represented geometrically as the two disconnected branches/sheets of the hyperboloid embedded in $2n + 1$ dimensions. The topology of those two disconnected branches is equivalent to the $2n$ -dimensional disk and the metric is the Lobachevsky one of constant negative curvature. The instanton solution corresponds physically to a closed p -brane (such $p + 1 = 2n$) that starts at $x_o = -\infty$ and evolves in time shrinking to *zero* size at the point $x_o = -1$, located at the south branch of the hyperboloid, and then *tunnels* all the way through to the point $x_o = +1$, located in the northern branch, re-emerging and blowing in size while propagating all the way to $x_o = +\infty$.

To satisfy these requirements it is essential to prove firstly that the classical action and classical Hamiltonian are positive definite. A close inspection reveals that one should have an Euclidean signature base manifold which forces one to choose an Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} space if the condition (16a) is to be satisfied. Therefore, instead of $SO(2n-1, 2)$ one must have $SO(2n, 1)$. To illustrate the fact that the classical action is positive definite and without loss of generality we study the $R^{4,0} \rightarrow AdS_{4,0}$ case. (the Euclideanization of AdS_4). We must show that :

$$(F_{\mu\nu}^{ij})^2 > 0. \ i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. \ \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (17)$$

In $D = 4$ there are six terms of the form :

$$g^{00}g^{11}[(F_{01}^{ab})^2 - (F_{01}^{5a})^2] + g^{00}g^{22}[(F_{02}^{ab})^2 - (F_{02}^{5a})^2] + \\ + g^{11}g^{22}[(F_{12}^{ab})^2 - (F_{12}^{5a})^2] + \quad (18)$$

Due to the Euclidean nature of the base manifold the $g^{00}g^{11} > 0$ so we must show then that the terms inside the brackets in eq-(18) are all positive definite. The a, b indices run over : 1, 2, 3, 4 and the last one is the $i = 5$ component n^5 associated with the non-compact $SO(4, 1)$ -valued n^i field. Setting $n^i = (n^a, n^5) \equiv (\vec{\pi}, \sigma)$ the condition $n^i n_i = -1$ yields :

$$\sigma^2 = \vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{\pi} + 1 = \pi^2 + 1. \quad (19)$$

which implies for every single component of $x^\mu; \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (we are not summing over the x^μ) :

$$(\partial_\mu n^5)^2 < \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma^2} (\partial_\mu \vec{\pi}) \cdot (\partial_\mu \vec{\pi}); \ \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (20)$$

Then :

$$(F_{01}^{5a})^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [(\partial_0 \pi^a)(\vec{\pi} \cdot \partial_1 \vec{\pi}) - (\partial_1 \pi^a)(\vec{\pi} \cdot \partial_0 \vec{\pi})]^2 < \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma^2} (\partial_0 \pi^a \partial_1 \pi^b - \partial_1 \pi^a \partial_0 \pi^b)^2. \quad (21)$$

where we used the relation $(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})^2 \leq A^2 B^2$. The first term of (18) yields :

$$(F_{01}^{ab})^2 - (F_{01}^{5a})^2 > (1 - \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma^2}) (\partial_0 \pi^a \partial_1 \pi^b - \partial_1 \pi^a \partial_0 \pi^b)^2 > 0. \quad (22)$$

because $(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{\sigma^2}) > 0$ due to the relation (19). The same argument applies for each single component of $(F_{\mu\nu}^{ij})^2$ and hence the classical action density and Hamiltonian are positive definite.

It remains to prove that the solutions (11) obey the double self duality condition (10). The latter property holds and can be seen by inspection. The former property is a straightforward extension of the results in [1,3] for the compact case. Given the stereographic projections defined by eq-(11) : $R^{2n} \rightarrow H^{2n}$ one can find a set of independent $2n+1$ frame vectors, E_p , representing the pullback of the orthogonal frame vectors of the $2n+1$ -dim pseudo-Euclidean manifold onto the Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} space :

$$E_1 = \partial_{x^1} n^i; E_2 = \partial_{x^2} n^i; \dots E_{2n} = \partial_{x^{2n}} n^i. E_{2n+1} = n^i. i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1 \quad (24)$$

obeying the orthogonality condition :

$$g_{\mu\nu} \equiv E_\mu^i E_\nu^i = \partial_\mu n^i \partial_\nu n^i = \frac{4}{(1-x^2)^2} \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (25)$$

which precisely yields the Euclideanized AdS_{2n} metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ defined as the pullback of the embedding metric, satisfying $R_{\mu\nu} \sim g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda$ and $R \sim \Lambda$. The latter curvature relations are the hallmark of Anti de Sitter space (negative scalar curvature). The positive definite measure spanned by the collection of $2n+1$ frame vectors defines the natural volume element of the Euclideanized AdS_{2n} :

$$\sqrt{\det h} = \det (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{2n}, E_{2n+1}). \quad (26)$$

It was shown by [1,3] (for the compact case the orthogonality condition is naturally modified to yield the metric of the S^{2n}) that the orthogonality conditions eqs-(25) are the *necessary* and *sufficient* conditions to show that the particular field configurations $n^i(x)$ given by (11), after extending the four dimensional result to any $D = 2n$, satisfy the double self duality conditions eq-(10). The orthogonality relation implies that the angles among any two vectors is preserved after the mapping and also that all the vectors , after the mapping, are of equal length (this is not to say that their length equals the same as before the mapping). This is a signal of a conformal mapping. The “stereographic” maps are a particular class of conformal maps. The action of the conformal group in R^{2n} will furnish the remaining conformal maps [1,3]. Hence, we see here once again the interrelation between self-duality and conformal invariance. In two dimensions self-duality implies conformal invariance (holomorphicity). In higher dimensions matters are more restricted, they are not equivalent.

Therefore, setting aside the subtleties in the quantization program due to the non-compact nature of $SO(2n, 1)$, we have shown that these $SO(2n, 1)$ σ -model instanton field configurations obtained by means of the “stereographic” (conformal) maps of $R^{2n} \rightarrow H^{2n}$, correspond precisely to the coordinates, $y^1, y^2, \dots, y^4, y^5$ of the 5-dim pseudo-Euclidean manifold onto which the Euclidean signature AdS_4 space is embedded. To illustrate this lets focus on Euclidean signature AdS_4 defined as the geometrical locus :

$$y^2 \equiv (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + (y^3)^2 + (y^4)^2 - (y^5)^2 = -\rho^2 = -1. \quad (27)$$

where we set the AdS scale $\rho = 1$. The AdS_4 coordinates : z^0, z^1, z^2, z^3 are related to the y^A using the “stereographic” projection (see the lectures by Petersen [6]) from the “south” pole of the Euclidean signature AdS_4 to the equator R^{2n} passing through the origin, dividing R^{2n+1} into a north/south region, and finally intersecting the upper branch of the hyperboloid at point P :

$$y^a(z) = \rho \frac{2z^\mu}{1-z^2}; \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad a = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad y^5(z) = \rho \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}. \quad (28)$$

it is straightforward to verify that $y^2 = -\rho^2 = -1$. The Euclidean signature AdS_4 metric is equivalent to the Lobachevski metric of a Disk; it is conformally flat :

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{(1-z^2)^2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad z^2 = (z^0)^2 + \dots + (z^3)^2. \quad (29)$$

This conformally flat metric can be generalized to any dimensions and have constant negative curvature given by $R = -d(d-1)/a^2$ where the Disk's radius is $2a$. There is an exact match with the instanton field configurations $n^i(x)$ (11). The metric (29) also matches (25) after the correspondence : $n^a(x) \leftrightarrow y^\mu(z)$; $n^5(x) \leftrightarrow y^5(z)$ and $x^\mu \leftrightarrow z^\mu$ is made because the z^μ coordinates used by Petersen [6] can be identified precisely with the x^μ coordinates of R^4 .

Therefore, concluding, the Euclidean AdS_4 space can indeed be seen as the target space of a nonlinear $SO(2n, 1)$ sigma model defined in R^{2n} obeying the double self duality conditions (8). Since these instanton solutions are determined *modulo* conformal transformations, for example, one can conformally map the 4-dimensional disk into the upper half plane R^4 and in these Poincare coordinates the AdS metric reads :

$$ds^2 = \frac{a^2}{(x^4)^2} [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2]. \quad x^4 > 0.$$

In $d = 2$ it coincides with the familiar metric in the upper half of the complex plane. This four dimensional result can be generalized to higher dimensions in a straightforward fashion.

These conformally invariant $SO(2n, 1)$ sigma model actions in R^{2n} are just the sigma model generalizations of Yang-Mills type actions. The topological Chern-Simons actions are related to the MacDowell-Mansouri-Chamseddine-West action for ordinary gravity based on gauging the conformal group $SO(3, 2)$ in $D = 3$ Minkowski space which is also the same as the isometry group of AdS_4 . The ordinary Lorentz spin connection and the tetrad in four dimensions are just pieces of the $SO(3, 2)$ gauge connection. Upon setting the torsion to zero one recovers the $4D$ Einstein-Hilbert action with a cosmological constant and the Gauss-Bonnet topological term. The conformally invariant sigma models actions [1] are the ones required in building conformally invariant bosonic $p = 2n$ -brane actions in flat or curved target backgrounds. It would be interesting to see what sort of actions can be derived following the analog of a MacDowell-Mansouri procedure to obtain Einstein gravity from a gauge theory. And this is precisely what we shall do in section 4.

III

3.1 Self-Dual p -branes, Chern-Simons p' -Branes

In the first part of this section we will study the relationship between Self-Dual p -branes and Chern-Simons p' -Branes, for $p' + 1 = p = D - 1$ provided the target embedding D -dim spacetime is (pseudo) Euclidean . In the second part we will review our work [33-35] and show how (Chern-Simons) brane actions emerge from the large N limit of quenched, reduced (Generalized) Yang Mills theories in diverse dimensions. Also we will show how the large N limit of Matrix Chern-Simons theories are also given by Chern-Simons branes.

Having shown in **2** how Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} spaces are instanton solutions of the conformally invariant $SO(2n, 1)$ sigma models in $D = 2n$ dimensions we turn our attention to the construction of self dual branes moving in $2n$ dimensional backgrounds . The latter self dual branes obey a similar *double* self duality condition as the former instantons . It was pointed out in the introduction that Zaikov had shown that self-dual p -branes, when $p + 1 = D = 2n$ and the embedding $2n$ -dimensional manifold is (pseudo) Euclidean [11], are related to Chern-Simons p' -branes, for $p' + 1 = p = 2n - 1$ which implied that Chern-Simons branes must have codimension *two* : $p' + 2 = 2n$.

In essence, this correspondence says that the world volume of a boundary matches the boundary of a world volume. This is *not* the same as saying that the Self Dual p -brane is itself the world volume of a Chern-Simons p' -brane. For this to happen, it will require a theory with *two* times : One time representing the world volume dynamics of the Chern-Simons p' -brane and another time representing the world volume dynamics of the p -brane. Two-times physics have been amply studied by Bars [26]. For this reason that Chern-Simons branes have codimension *two* they are the *high* dimensional analogs of *knots* [47] . In particular we must distinguish the following cases in this work :

- The Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} spaces were interpreted as the tunneling of a closed p brane ($p = 2n - 1$) from $x_o = -\infty$ (lower sheet of the hyperboloid) to $x_o = +\infty$ (the upper sheet of the hyperboloid) after shrinking to *zero* size at point $x_o = -1$ and re-emerging at point $x_o = +1$. The relevant self-dual branes we will study in this section are related to those Chern-Simons branes living on the boundaries of a D -dimensional region as we shall see next.

- AdS_{2n} spaces have the topology $S^1(time) \times R^{2n-1}(space)$ and the latter space has the same topology as the lateral component of the *boundary* of a space of topology $D^2 \times R^{2n-1}$ where D^2 is the two-dim disk. The *covering* space of AdS_{2n} has the topology of $R^1 \times R^{2n-1} = R^{2n}$ which in turn can be thought of as the world volume of an *open* brane of topology R^{2n-1} such $p' = 2n - 1$. This open $2n - 1$ -brane can be identified as the Chern-Simons brane of codimension *two* : $p' + 2 = (2n - 1) + 2 = 2n + 1$; i.e it is the embedding of an $2n - 1$ dimensional open manifold of topology R^{2n-1} onto the pseudo Euclidean manifold of dimension $D = 2n + 1$. This is the original pseudo-Euclidean manifold where the AdS_{2n} and the $2n$ -dim de Sitter spaces were naturally embedded. Hence, from the *covering* space perspective of AdS_{2n} , the *open* Chern-Simos p' -brane (for $p' = 2n - 1$) lives on the *covering space* of the lateral *boundary* component of $D^2 \times R^{2n-1}$; i.e. It lives on the covering space of AdS_{2n} .

However, this does *not* mean that AdS_{2n} spaces will generate the $2n + 1$ -dimensional (pseudo) Euclidean spaces R^{2n+1} onto which they are being embedded. Since AdS_{2n} spaces are *curved* , their would-be world-volumes of topology $AdS_{2n} \times R^1$ would be curved also , hence this disqualifies the flat R^{2n+1} as its world volume. For this reason AdS_{2n} spaces are *not* Self Dual $2n$ -branes living in flat $2n + 1$ dimensions. Notice also, that based on the results of the previous section, the AdS_{2n} spaces *cannot* be seen as instanton solutions of the $SO(2n - 1, 2)$ non-linear σ model, because they don't have the appropriate signature, like the Euclideanized AdS_{2n} spaces did. The correct signature was an essential condition to find real valued solutions to the double self duality equations (8) . Hence, it is very important to keep in mind the difference between the Euclidean AdS_{2n} instantons solutions

of the conformally invariant nonlinear $SO(2n, 1)$ sigma model in $D = 2n$ dimensions and the actual AdS_{2n} spaces.

- Another very relevant case is the odd dimensional AdS_{2n-1} space. It has the topology $S^1(time) \times R^{2n-2}(space)$ and the latter space has the same topology as the lateral component of the *boundary* of a space of topology $D^2 \times R^{2n-2}$ where D^2 is the two-dim disk. The *covering* space of AdS_{2n-1} has the topology of $R^1 \times R^{2n-2} = R^{2n-1}$ which in turn can be thought of as the world volume of an *open* brane of topology R^{2n-2} such $p' = 2n - 2$. This open $2n - 2$ -brane can then be identified as the Chern-Simons brane of codimension *two* : $p' + 2 = (2n - 2) + 2 = 2n$; i.e it is the embedding of an $2n - 2$ dimensional open manifold of topology R^{2n-2} onto the (pseudo) Euclidean manifold of dimension $D = 2n$.

In particular, to see now the specifics of Zaikov's self dual p - branes and Chern-Simons p' branes , when $p' + 1 = p = 2n - 1$; i.e. when the world volume of a boundary matches the boundary of a world volume, we shall write down the Dolan-Tchrakian action for a spacetime filling bosonic p -brane (with $p + 1 = 2n = D$) embedded in a flat target spacetime of dimensionality $D = p + 1 = 2n$. The conformally invariant Dolan-Tchrakian action for p branes such that $p + 1 = 2n$ are in general valid for both flat or curved target spacetime backgrounds [2]. The action in *flat* backgrounds can be written in this form :

$$S = T \int d^{2n} \sigma \sqrt{h} h^{a^1 b^1} \dots h^{a^n b^n} \partial_{[a^1} X^{\mu_1} \partial_{a^2} X^{\mu_2} \dots \partial_{a_n]} X^{\mu_n} \partial_{[b^1} X_{\mu_1} \partial_{b^2} X_{\mu_2} \dots \partial_{b_n]} X_{\mu_n}. \quad (30a)$$

where the antisymmetrization of the world-volume indices is explicitly shown. T is the brane tension of units of $(mass)^{2n}$ and the target spacetime dimension is $D \geq 2n$.

Such action in *flat* backgrounds can also be rewritten explicitly in the Yang-Mills like $F \wedge^* F = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ form where one just needs to enlarge the indices of the field-strengths to accomodate anti-symmetric tensors of even rank n . It was precisely derived based on the conformally invariant $SO(2n + 1)$ σ -model actions given by eqs-(8) :

$$S = T \int [E^{p_1} \wedge E^{p_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{p_n}] \wedge^* [E^{q_1} \wedge E^{q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{q_n}] \eta_{p_1 q_1} \eta_{p_2 q_2} \dots \eta_{p_n q_n} \quad (30b)$$

The star operation in (30) is the standard Hodge duality one defined w.r.t the $p + 1$ -dim worldvolume metric of the p -brane : h_{ab} . For branes that saturate the embedding dimensions ($p + 1 = 2n + D$) The world-volume one-forms , E^p ; $p = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ are obtained as the pullbacks of the $D = 2n = p + 1$ one forms, e^A ; $A = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ associated with the $D = 2n$ dimensional target space onto which we embed the world-volume of the p -brane. η_{pq} is the tangent space (to the world-volume) Minkowski metric obeying $E_a^p E_b^q \eta_{pq} = h_{ab}$.

A self-dual p -brane (self dual w.r.t the Hodge star operation associated with the worldvolume metric) obeys :

$$^*[E^{q_1} \wedge E^{q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{q_n}] = [E^{q_1} \wedge E^{q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{q_n}]. \quad (31)$$

and will automatically satisfy the equations of motion. This is the p -brane generalization of the relation $d^*F = {}^*J = 0$ in the absence of sources in the YM equations. When ${}^*F = F$ the latter equations become the Bianchi identities $dF = 0$.

Hence, if the self duality condition eq-(31) is obeyed , the action (31) becomes in this case :

$$S = T \int E^{p_1} \wedge E^{p_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{p_n} \wedge E^{q_1} \wedge E^{q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge E^{q_n}.$$

and the action (30) for a self-dual p -brane becomes *precisely* the integral over the ordinary Jacobian (a $p + 1 = 2n$ volume form) associated with the change of variables $\sigma \rightarrow X$ and hence it is topological. It has no transverse degrees of freedom since $p + 1 = 2n = D$. If the world volume has a natural boundary, an integration by parts (assuming one has a valid global coordinate patch) will yield the Chern-Simons $p' = p - 1$ -brane as indicated in eq-(4,5). In this way we have seen then how a self-dual p -brane is indeed related to the Chern-Simons $p' = p - 1$ -brane (at least on shell) and it has codimension *two* : $p' + 2 = p + 1 = 2n = D$.

In the particular case of a 3-brane , the Dolan-Tchrakian action in *flat* backgrounds is

$$Tr F \wedge {}^*F \leftrightarrow \int d^4\sigma \{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_{PB} \{X_\mu, X_\nu\}_{PB}$$

And for a self-dual 3-brane , one has the brane analog of the Self Dual YM relation :

$${}^*F = F \Rightarrow F \wedge {}^*F = F \wedge F$$

and the Dolan-Tchrakian action becomes :

$$Tr F \wedge F \leftrightarrow \int d^4\sigma \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_{PB} \{X^\rho, X^\tau\}_{PB}$$

and it is purely topological , a pure volume term. An integration by parts , assuming one has a well defined and unique global coordinate chart that covers the whole four-dim domain, it correspond to the 3-dim world volume associated with a Chern-Simons membrane living on the 3-dimensional boundary of the four-dimensional domain.

Before finishing this section we will concentrate on what are the requirements for the self-duality conditions from the world-volume perspective to agree with the self duality conditions from the spacetime point of view and with the double self-duality conditions formulated by Zaikov when the embedding manifold is Euclidean. For example, when $p + 1 = 4$, the double self duality equations of Zaikov can be written [11] :

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}^{JK} = \partial_{\sigma^a} X^J \partial_{\sigma^b} X^K - \partial_{\sigma^b} X^J \partial_{\sigma^a} X^K = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{JKLM} \mathcal{F}_{cd}^{LM}. \quad (32a)$$

where $X^I(\sigma^a)$ are the embedding coordinates of the p -brane into the target space, X^I ; $I = 1, 2, \dots, 4$. It was obtained from the standard Dirac-Nambu-Goto actions. The Dolan-Tchrakian action (30) is equivalent at the classical level to the Dirac-Nambu-Goto actions upon elimination of the auxiliary world-volume metric, h_{ab} . Whether or not this

equivalence occurs Quantum Mechanically is another issue. Because the world-volume of a spacetime filling branes can be identified with (a subset of) the target background, one has at the classical level , that Zaikov's double self-duality conditions (32) on *Euclidean* embedding spacetime backgrounds must have a one-to-one correspondence to the self-duality conditions (31) associated with *Euclidean* world-volumes of the self-dual branes furnished by Dolan-Tchraian actions (30). We will see this next.

Before doing this one should notice that in eq-(32a) one could replace *upper* spacetime tensor indices for *lower* spacetime tensor indices since the embedding manifold is supposed to be Euclidean; i.e eq-(32a) is indeed invariant under such replacement : $X^J \rightarrow X_J$ since : $X^J = \eta^{JM} X_M = X_J$ so this replacement does *not* affect the outcome of the form of eqs-(32a) . Since Zakov's equations were written in Euclidean backgrounds it is more appropriate to write the double self duality equations in the more general form that are also valid in pseudo-Euclidean spacetimes and that bear a closer resemblance to the double self duality equations of the non-linear sigma models (8). Thus the double self duality equations of Zaikov (32a) in (pseudo) Euclidean manifolds should have the precise index-position :

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}^{JK} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{JKLM} [\partial^c X_L \partial^d X_M - \partial^d X_L \partial^c X_M] =^* [\mathcal{F}_{LM}^{cd}]. \quad (32b)$$

To arrive at the ordinary self-duality equations (in the Yang-Mills like form) from the double-self-duality ones we must multiply both sides of eq-(32b) by the inverse of the nondegenerate symplectic two-form ω^{ab} and sum over all the world-volume indices . Upon doing so one can rewrite (32b) in the Yang-Mills like form in terms of the Poisson brackets w.r.t. the world volume coordinates defined as :

$$\{A, B\} \equiv \sum_a \omega^{ab} \partial_a A(\sigma) \partial_b B(\sigma) \Rightarrow \{X^J, X^K\}_{PB} = \epsilon^{JKLM} \{X_L, X_M\}_{PB} \leftrightarrow F^{JK} = \epsilon^{JKLM} F_{LM}. \quad (32c)$$

This was due to the fact that one can choose a basis such that the four-world-volume form Ω_4 and the symplectic two-form obey :

$$\Omega_4 = \omega \wedge \omega \Rightarrow \epsilon^{abcd} = \omega^{ab} \wedge \omega^{cd}. \quad \omega^{ab} \omega_{ab} = \text{Trace } I_{4 \times 4} = 4. \quad (32d)$$

The reader may ask : why the use of a symplectic two-form and Poisson brackets ? In section **3.2** we will justify the use of Poisson brackets directly from the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM theories. Following similar arguments as those given in section **2** pertaining the signature subtleties of these more general double self duality equations (32), for *even* rank forms, in $D = 2n = 4k$ dimensions , it follows that the signature of the worldvolume of the p -brane ($p + 1 = 2n$) has to *match* naturally the target spacetime signatures if we wish to have *real*-valued solutions for $X^\mu(\sigma)$.

Furthermore, one can notice also that the (double) self-duality conditions of p -branes resemble those of ordinary $SO(4)$ Yang-Mills where the ensuing relation between the two equations is implemented after the correspondence :

$$A_\mu^{\alpha\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} \leftrightarrow X^J(\sigma^a). \quad F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{ab}^{JK} = \partial_{\sigma^a} X^J \partial_{\sigma^b} X^K - \partial_{\sigma^b} X^J \partial_{\sigma^a} X^K. \quad (33)$$

where $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ are the 2×2 matrices (Pauli) corresponding to the chiral representation of $SO(4) \sim SU(2) \times SU(2)$. The $\mu, \nu..$ indices in the l.h.s of (33) run over the four dimensional base manifold R^4 where the $SO(4)$ YM fields live. The $I, J, K...$ in the r.h.s of (33) run over the four-dimensional embedding target space . The σ^a indices run over the four-dimensional world volume of the $p = 3$ -brane. In the next sections we will show rigorously the validity of such correspondence (33) via the Moyal deformation quantization and why the use of Poisson brackets.

Therefore, the self-duality conditions of the (spacetime filling) Dolan-Tchraikian p -brane actions in (pseudo) Euclidean embedding manifolds (for the case $p + 1 = 4$) (31) have a one-to-one correspondence with the double self-duality conditions (32b) for p -branes and , also, with the double self-duality conditions of the $SO(4)$ YM instanton (8a), if, and only if, the gauge fields/target spacetime coordinates correspondence (33) is used. This gauge-fields/target spacetime coordinates *correspondence* is precisely the one we will use in the next sections to show how the large N limit of quenched reduced QCD admit hadronic bag excitations. Furthermore, these results can be generalized to higher dimensional cases when : $p + 1 = 2n = 4k$.

Before ending this subsection we will discuss the Chern-Simons 10-brane connected to AdS_{11} spaces. As we said earlier, because AdS_{11} is *not* flat it would be inconsistent to claim that it also qualifies as a self dual 11-brane moving in a *flat* pseudo- Euclidean 12-dim background. The topological Chern-Simons action for the relevant open $p' = 10$ -brane is defined over the *covering* space of the lateral $d = 11$ -dimensional boundary component of a domain of topology $D^2 \times R^{10}$. This Chern-Simons open 10-brane has the topology of R^{10} . Its *curved* world volume can be identified with the *covering* space of AdS_{11} , since such covering has the topology of $R^1 \times R^{10}$ and a non-Euclidean metric of constant negative scalar curvature, conformal to that of a half cylinder, an Einstein universe. Since the Chern-Simons $p = 10$ -brane theory is topological, it has no local 12-dimensional bulk degrees of freedom, only global, that are naturally confined to the 11-dimensional *boundary*. In this sense the theory is holographic [27,28] and it is no wonder why the coverings of AdS spaces are of great importance to implement the holographic principle [6].

A final word of caution : The boundary dynamics of this Chern-Simons $p' = 10$ brane example must *not* be confused with the dynamics associated with the other components of the boundary of the domain of topology $D^2 \times R^{10}$; namely the *lids* at *infinity* whose topology is $D^2 \times S^9$. This is consistent with the fact that the projective boundary of AdS_{11} (at infinity) has the topology of $S^1 \times S^9$. Singleton/Doubleton Conformal Field Theories in $S^1 \times S^p$ have been analyzed in [51, 52] in connection to the massless spectrum of supersymmetric p -branes propagating on $AdS_{p+2} \times S^{D-p-2}$ backgrounds. These singleton/doubleton field theories are tightly coconnected with p -branes living at the " end " of the universe ; i.e their world volumes are $p + 1$ dimensional, corresponding to the projective boundaries (at infinity) of AdS_{p+2} spaces, of topology $S^1 \times S^p$, and whose *covering* space has the topology of $R^1 \times S^p$, as it should, to qualify for a world volume of a closed p -brane of topology S^p .

Since we have $d = 10, 11, 12$ for characteristic dimensions, this particular example may be relevant to understand some of the intricate relations of M, F, S Theory [25,26] and to shed some light into the geometrical, holographic and topological underpinnings

behind the *AdS/CFT* duality conjecture. These example can be generalized to the other higher/lower dimensions and to the *AdS*₁₂ case where the relevant dimensions are then 11, 12, 13. Higher dimensional topological actions have been proposed by Chapline to describe unique theories of gravity and matter [24].

3.2 Hadronic Bags and Chern-Simons Branes from Quenched Large N QCD in Flat Backgrounds

This section deals with the importance that Deformation quantization has in the construction of (Chern-Simons) branes from the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in flat backgrounds. $SU(N)$ reduced, quenched gauge theories have been recently shown by us to be related to Hadronic Bags and Chern Simons Membranes in the large N limit [33-35] . This is reminiscent of the chiral model approaches to Self Dual Gravity based on Self Dual Yang Mills [31] theories. A Moyal deformation quantization of the Nahm equations associated with a $SU(2)$ YM [32] theory yields the *classical* $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the $SU(N)$ YM Nahm equations *directly*, without ever using the $\infty \times \infty$ matrices of the large N matrix models. By simply taking the classical $\hbar = 0$ limit of the Moyal brackets, the ordinary Poisson bracket algebra associated with area-preserving diffs algebra $SU(\infty)$ is automatically recovered. This supports furthermore the fact that the underlying geometry may be noncommutative [18,32] and that p -branes are essentially gauge theories of area/volume...preserving diffs [14,20,21].

This Moyal deformation approach also furnishes dynamical membranes as well [35] when the spatial quenching approximation to a line (one dimension) , instead of a point , is used. Basically, a Moyal quantization takes the operator $\hat{A}_\mu(x^\mu)$ into $A_\mu(x^\mu; q, p)$ and commutators into Moyal brackets. A dimensional reduction to one temporal dimension brings $A_\mu(t, q, p)$, which precisely corresponds to the membrane coordinates $X_\mu(t, \sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ after identifying σ^a with q, p . The $\hbar = 0$ limit turns the Moyal bracket into a Poisson one. It is in this fashion how the large N $SU(N)$ matrix model bears a direct relation to the physics of membranes. The Moyal quantization explains this in a straightforward fashion without having to use $\infty \times \infty$ matrices ! Membrane actions have also been given by Dolan-Tchrakian in terms of nonlinear sigma models. The crucial difference is that these sigma models are no longer conformally invariant since the world volume dimension is *odd*. They have the similar form as the Skyrme action. The quadratic terms are the kinetic ones and the quartic derivative terms play the role of a potential term. The relevance of Skyrme models in the construction of the effective action for $SU(2)$ QCD has been pointed out recently by [69].

We will review how a $4D$ Yang-Mills theory reduced and quenched, and supplemented by a topological *theta* term can be related through a Weyl-Wigner Groenowold Moyal (WWGM) quantization procedure to an *open* domain of the 3-dim disk D^3 ; i.e. an open 3-brane model [33]. The bulk $D^3 \times R^1$ is the interior of a hadronic bag and the (lateral) boundary is the Chern-Simons world volume $S^2 \times R^1$ of a membrane of topology S^2 (a codimension two object). Hence, we have an example where the world volume of a boundary $S^2 \times R^1$ is the lateral boundary of the world volume of an open 3-brane of topology D^3 : $\partial(D^3 \times R^1) = S^2 \times R^1$ (setting asside the points at infinity). The boundary dynamics *is not trivial* despite the fact that there are no transverse bulk

dynamics associated with the interior of the bag. This is due to the fact that the 3-brane is spacetime filling : $3 + 1 = 4$ and therefore has no transverse physical degrees of freedom. The reduced quenched action in $D = 4$ is :

$$S = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^4 \frac{N}{g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}. \quad F_{\mu\nu} = [iD_\mu, iD_\nu]. \quad (34)$$

The reduced-quenched action is defined at a " point " x_o . The quenched approximation is based in replacing the field strengths by their commutator dropping the ordinary derivative terms. For simplicity we have omitted the matrix $SU(N)$ indices in (34). The *theta* term is :

$$S_\theta = -\frac{\theta N g_{YM}^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^4 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}. \quad (35)$$

The WWGM quantization establishes a one-to-one correspondence between a linear operator $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu$ acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of square integrable functions in R^D and a smooth function $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x, y)$ which is the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{A}_\mu(q, p)$. The latter quantity is obtained by evaluating the trace of the $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu$ operator summing over the diagonal elements with respect to an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space. Under the WWGM correspondence , in the quenched approximation, the matrix product $A_\mu A_\nu$ is mapped into the *noncommutative* Moyal star product of their symbols $\mathcal{A}_\mu * \mathcal{A}_\nu$ and the commutators are mapped into their Moyal brackets :

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} [A_\mu, A_\nu] \Rightarrow \{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}. \quad (36)$$

Replacing the Trace operation with an integration w.r.t the internal phase space variables , $\sigma \equiv q^i, p^i$:

$$\frac{(2\pi)^4}{N^3} \rightarrow \int d^4\sigma. \quad (37)$$

The WWGM deformation quantization of the quenched-reduced original actions is :

$$S^* = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^4 \frac{N}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4\sigma \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) * \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(\sigma). \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \{i\mathcal{A}_\mu, i\mathcal{A}_\nu\}. \quad (38)$$

And the corresponding WWGM deformation of the *theta* term :

$$S_\theta^* = -\frac{\theta N g_{YM}^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^4 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \int d^4\sigma \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) * \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}(\sigma). \quad (39)$$

By performing the following gauge fields/coordinate correspondence :

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(\sigma) \rightarrow \left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\right)^{1/4} X_\mu(\sigma). \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) \rightarrow \left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\right)^{1/2} \{X_\mu(\sigma), X_\nu(\sigma)\}. \quad (40)$$

And, finally, by setting the Moyal deformation parameter " $\hbar$ " = $2\pi/N$ of the WWGM deformed action (38), to zero ; i.e taking the classical $\hbar = 0$ limit , which is tantamount to

taking the $N = \infty$ limit, one can see that the action (38) will become the Dolan-Tchraian action (30) for a 3-brane, in the conformal gauge : $h_{ab} = e^{2\chi(\sigma)}\eta_{ab}$, moving in a *flat* $D = 4$ -dim background :

$$S = -\frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2}\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^4 \int d^4\sigma \{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_{PB}\{X^\rho, X^\tau\}_{PB} \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\tau} \quad (41)$$

due to the fact that the Moyal brackets collapse to the ordinary Poisson brackets in the $\hbar = 2\pi/N = 0$ limit (large N limit) .

Whereas the action corresponding to the *theta* term (39) will become in the $N = \infty$ limit, the Chern-Simons Zaikov action for a closed membrane embedded in a four-dimensional (pseudo) Euclidean background and whose 3-dim worldvolume is the boundary of the four-dim hadronic bag . The Chern-Simons membrane has *nontrivial* boundary dynamics compared with the trivial bulk dynamics of the spacetime filling 3-brane.

Upon identifying the inverse lattice spacing of the large N quenched, reduced **QCD** with the scale of $(2\pi/a) = \Lambda_{QCD} = 200 \text{ Mev}$ we were able to obtain the value of the dynamically generated bag constant, μ , of mass dimensions, related to the bag Tension , as :

$$T = \mu^4 = \frac{1}{4\pi}(200 \text{ Mev})^4 \frac{1}{(g_{YM}^2/4\pi)}. \quad (42)$$

Taking for the conventionally assumed value of $g_{YM}^2/4\pi \sim 0.18$, the actual value of the hadronic bag constant μ turns out to be also close to the value $\Lambda_{QCD} = 200 \text{ Mev} \sim (2\pi/a)$ which falls within the range of the phenomenologically accepted values $\mu \sim 110 \text{ Mev}$ if we take into account the uncertainty on the value of the Λ_{QCD} which lies in the range of 120 Mev and 350 Mev .

To prepare the territory for the next section, when we introduce gravity into the picture we will set the inverse lattice spacing a to be $a^4 = NL_{Planck}^4$. In the next section we will *justify* the validity of this relation. Upon inserting this value of a^4 into eq-(42) and recalling that $\mu \sim a^{-1}$:

$$T = \mu^4 \sim \frac{1}{a^4 g_{YM}^2} \Rightarrow \mu^4 \sim \frac{1}{L_{Planck}^4 (Ng_{YM}^2)} \Rightarrow \mu^{-4} \sim (Ng_{YM}^2)L_{Planck}^4. \quad (43)$$

which has a simliar form as the celebrated Maldacena result relating the size of the AdS_5 throat ρ^4 to the 't Hooft coupling Ng_{YM}^2 and the Planck scale $L_{Planck}^4 \sim (\alpha')^2$, (the inverse string tension squared). In section 4.3 we shall provide the details supporting these relations.

Based on the arguments of this section, the generalization of these results to p -branes of higher dimensionality , $p + 1 = 2n = 4k$, is straightforward and was performed in [34]. The starting actions of the Generalized Yang Mills theories GYM (and their corresponding θ terms) were given earlier in eq-(6) following the Lagrangians of Tchraian [10] . We refer to our work in [34] for all the details that show how the Moyal deformation of these theories, in the *quenched* and reduced approximation , after taking the $\hbar = 2\pi/N = 0$ limit , yields Dolan-Tchraian actions for spacetime filling p branes , in the conformal gauge, moving in

flat $2n$ -dim backgrounds. The Chern-Simons p' -brane actions are obtained directly from the θ terms associated with these GYM theories and have *nontrivial* boundary dynamics.

Deformation quantization can also be implemented in the $SU(N)$ Matrix Chern-Simons models defined in *odd* D dimensions and Topological Matrix BF theories [62]. Matrix Chern-Simons models in odd dimensions are defined :

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_n} \text{Trace} [X^{\mu_1} X^{\mu_2} \dots X^{\mu_n}]. \quad X^\mu = N \times N \text{ matrix.}$$

Due to the cyclic property of the trace, and performing a permutation of indices, we require to have $(-1)^{D-1} = 1$ which implies that D must be odd or else the action is trivially zero.

However one cannot take the large N limit any longer using the Moyal deformation procedure of Poisson brackets. Instead one has to use the Zariski star product associated with the deformations of the Nambu-Poisson brackets (Jacobians) [63]. This is related to the so-called Nambu Quantum Mechanics where the ordinary commutator is replaced by the Nambu commutator (of a product of n matrices , for example) :

$$[A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n] = A_1 A_2 \dots A_n \pm \text{permutations}$$

. The Zariski star product involves also the deformation parameter $\hbar \sim 1/N$ (where N is the size of the matrices) and allows to construct :

$$[\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n]_\bullet = \mathcal{A}_1 \bullet \mathcal{A}_2 \bullet \mathcal{A}_3 \dots \bullet \mathcal{A}_n \pm \text{permutations}$$

and is the analog of Moyal bracket whose classical $\hbar = 0$ (large N) limit yields the Nambu-Poisson bracket. The main result is that a Zariski deformation of these $SU(N)$ Matrix Chern-Simons models yields , in the classical $\hbar = 1/N = 0$ limit , the actions for Chern Simons p' -branes, $p' + 2 = D$ ($n = D$ is odd), using the correspondence $\mathcal{A}(\sigma) \leftrightarrow X(\sigma)$ and after an integration by parts. The Topological Matrix BF models , in the large N limit, yield the coupling of the dualized Kalb-Ramond field $\tilde{B}_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{p+1}}$ to the $p + 1$ -dim world volume of p -branes. Or viceversa : the coupling of a Kalb-Ramond field to the *dual* brane characterized by the $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$ variables.

IV

4.1 Gravity from BF-Chern-Simons-Higgs Theories

In the last sections we studied those self-dual p -branes moving in (pseudo) Euclidean backgrounds related to the topological Chern-Simons p' -branes when $p' + 1 = p = D - 1$. We found how (spacetime filling) p -branes moving in flat backgrounds emerge from the large $N = \infty$ limit $\Rightarrow \hbar = 0$ of the Moyal deformations of YM and GYM theories in the quenched-reduced approximation. Also we have shown how Chern-Simons branes are directly related to the Moyal deformation of the θ terms associated with these Yang-Mills and GYM theories with *nontrivial* boundary dynamics . In this sections we shall study p -branes moving in *curved* AdS backgrounds and later in **5** the large N limit of $SU(N)$

YM in *curved* backgrounds is studied. In particular YM coupled to gravity and matter (scalar) fields.

To begin with we will show how gravitational actions with a cosmological constant can be obtained from an action *inspired* from a BF-CS-Higgs theory . Our procedure, although very similar in many respects to Wilczek's work [41] , *differs* from his in the *form* of our action below and it also exploits the topological *BF* origins of ordinary gravity [40] and of Yang-Mills theories [46, 48] . It has been known for some time that the first order formalism of pure *3D* gravity is a *BF* theory. The same occurs for *4D* gravity and higher-dim gravity if additional quadratic constraints on the *B* field are added to the Lagrangian [40] . These *BF* theories are very suitable for the spin-foam quantization techniques [67] that are valid in any dimension due to common structures to all constrained *BF* theories. A very important result is that *4D* YM theory can be obtained from a deformation of a Topological *BF* theory [48].

Deformations of a world volume *BF* theory as possible deformations of a Topological open membrane model by means of the antifield *BRST* formalism was performed by Ikeda [46] . Noncommutative structures on the boundaries of the open membrane were obtained as a generalization of the path integral representation of the star product deformation given by Kontsevich [64] . The path integral representation of the Kontsevich formula on a Poisson manifold was given as a perturbative expansion of a two-dim field theory defined on the open two-dim disk D^2 [65] . Similar star product structures appear in open string theory with a nonzero Neveu-Schwarz *B* field [66] .

Based on the BF-YM relation [48] , and the branes-YM relation of section **3.2** we are going to close the triangle BF/YM/Gravity by showing in this section how gravity with a negative cosmological constant can be obtained from a BF-CS-Higgs theory. The *4D* action below is inspired from a BF-CS model defined on the boundary of the *5D* region $D^2 \times R^3$, where D^2 is the open domain of the two-dim disk. As we said earlier, AdS_4 has the topology of $S^1(time) \times R^3$ which can be seen as the (lateral) boundary of $D^2 \times R^3$.

The relevant BF-CS-Higgs *inspired* action is based on the isometry group of AdS_4 space given by $SO(3, 2)$, that also coincides with the conformal group of the 3-dim boundary of AdS_4 : $S^1 \times S^2$. The action involves the gauge fields A_μ^{AB} and a family of Higgs scalars ϕ^A that are $SO(3, 2)$ vector-valued 0-forms and the indices run from $A = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. The action can be written in a compact notation using differential forms :

$$S_{BF-CS-Higgs} = \int_{M_4} \phi \wedge F \wedge F + \phi \wedge d_A \phi \wedge d_A \phi \wedge d_A \phi \wedge d_A \phi - V_H(\phi). \quad (44)$$

A word of caution : strictly speaking, because we are using a covariantized exterior differential d_A , we don't have the standard BF-CS theory. For this reason we use the terminology BF-CS-Higgs inspired model. The *5D* origins of the BF-CS inspired action is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D^2 \times R^3} d\phi \wedge F \wedge F &\leftrightarrow \int B \wedge F_4. \quad B = d\phi. \quad F_4 = F \wedge F. \\ \int_{D^2 \times R^3} d\phi \wedge d\phi \wedge d\phi \wedge d\phi \wedge d\phi &\rightarrow \int_{S^1 \times R^3} \phi \wedge d\phi \wedge d\phi \wedge d\phi \wedge d\phi \end{aligned}$$

The F and $F_4 = F \wedge F$ fields satisfy the Bianchi-identity :

$$F = d_A A = dA + A \wedge A. \quad d_A^2 \phi = F \phi \neq 0. \quad d_A^2 A = d_A F = 0 \Rightarrow d_A(F \wedge F) = 0$$

The Higgs potential is :

$$V_H(\phi) = \kappa_1(\eta_{AB}\phi^A\phi^B - v^2)^2. \quad \eta_{AB} = (+, +, +, -, -). \quad \kappa_1 = \text{constant}. \quad (45)$$

The gauge covariant exterior differential is defined : $d_A = d + A$ so that $d_A \phi = d\phi + A \wedge \phi$ and the $SO(3, 2)$ field strengths : $F = dA + A \wedge A$ are the usual ones associated with the $SO(3, 2)$ gauge fields in the adjoint representation :

$$A_\mu^{AB} = A_\mu^{ab} ; \quad A_\mu^{5a} ; \quad a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (46)$$

which, after symmetry breaking, will be later identified as the Lorentz spin connection ω_μ^{ab} and the vielbein field respectively : $A_\mu^{5a} = \lambda e_\mu^a$ where λ is the inverse AdS_4 scale.

The Lie algebra $SO(3, 2)$ generators obey the commutation relations :

$$[M_{AB}, M_{CD}] = \eta_{BC}M_{AD} - \eta_{AC}M_{BD} + \eta_{AD}M_{BC} - \eta_{BD}M_{AC}. \quad (47)$$

The Higgs potential is minimized at tree level when the vev are, for example, :

$$\langle \phi^5 \rangle = v. \quad \langle \phi^a \rangle = 0. \quad a = 1, 2, 3, 4.. \quad (48)$$

which means that one is freezing-in at each spacetime point the internal 5 direction of the internal space of the group $SO(3, 2)$. Using these conditions (48) in the definitions of the gauge covariant derivatives acting on the internal $SO(3, 2)$ -vector-valued spacetime scalars $\phi^A(x)$, we have that at tree level :

$$\nabla_\mu \phi^5 = \partial_\mu \phi^5 + A_\mu^{5a} \phi^a = 0. \quad \nabla_\mu \phi^a = \partial_\mu \phi^a + A_\mu^{ab} \phi^b + A_\mu^{a5} \phi^5 = A_\mu^{a5} v. \quad (49)$$

A variation of the action w.r.t the scalars ϕ^a yields the zero Torsion condition after imposing the above conditions (48, 49) solely *after* the variations have been taken place. Varying w.r.t the ϕ^a yields the $SO(3, 2)$ -covariantized Euler-Lagrange equations :

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^a} - d_A \frac{\delta S}{\delta (d_A \phi^a)} = 0 \Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}^{5a} = T_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu e_\nu^a + \omega_\mu^{ab} e_\nu^b - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu = 0 \Rightarrow \omega_\mu^{ab} = \omega(e_\mu^a). \quad (50)$$

and one recovers the standard Levi-Civita (spin) connection in terms of the (vielbein) metric . A variation w.r.t the remaining ϕ^5 scalar yields after using the relation $A_\mu^{a5} = \lambda e_\mu^a$:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{ab} F_{\rho\tau}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} + 5\lambda^4 v^4 e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\rho^c e_\tau^d \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$-\frac{1}{5}\phi^5 F_{\mu\nu}^{ab} F_{\rho\tau}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} = \phi^5 \nabla_\mu \phi^a \nabla_\nu \phi^b \nabla_\rho \phi^c \nabla_\tau \phi^d \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \quad (51)$$

these last equations (48, 49, 50, 51) will allow us to eliminate on-shell all the scalars ϕ^A from the action (44) furnishing the MacDowell-Mansouri-Chamseddine-West action for gravity as a result of an spontaneous symmetry breaking of the internal $SO(3, 2)$ gauge symmetry due to the Higgs mechanism leaving unbroken the $SO(3, 1)$ Lorentz symmetry :

$$S_{MMCW} = \frac{4}{5}v \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^{ab} F_{\rho\tau}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}. \quad (52)$$

with the main advantage that it is no longer necessary to impose by hand the zero Torsion condition in order to arrive at the Einstein-Hilbert action. On the contrary, the zero Torsion condition is a direct result of the spontaneous symmetry breaking and the dynamics of the original BF-CS inspired action. In general, performing the decomposition

$$A_\mu^{ab} = \omega_\mu^{ab}. \quad A_\mu^{a5} = \lambda e_\mu^a. \quad (53)$$

. where λ is the inverse length scale of the model (like the AdS_4 scale) and inserting these relations into the MMCW action yields finally the Einstein-Hilbert action, the cosmological constant plus the Gauss-Bonnet Topological invariant in $D = 4$:

$$S = \frac{8}{5}\lambda^2 v \int R \wedge e \wedge e + \frac{4}{5}\lambda^4 v \int e \wedge e \wedge e \wedge e + \frac{4}{5}v \int R \wedge R. \quad (54)$$

which implies that the gravitational L_{Planck}^2 and cosmological constant Λ_c are fixed in terms of λ, v , up to numerical factors, as :

$$\lambda^2 v = \frac{1}{L_P^2}. \quad \Lambda_c = \lambda^4 v. \quad (55a)$$

Eliminating the vev value v yields a geometric mean relationship among the three scales :

$$\lambda^2 \frac{1}{L_P^2} = \Lambda_c \Rightarrow L_P^4 \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda_c} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^4} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{L_P^4} \geq \Lambda_c \geq \lambda^4. \quad (55b)$$

Hence we have an upper/lower bound on the cosmological constant Λ_c based on the Planck scale and the AdS inverse scale λ .

We will use precisely these geometric mean relations (55) to get an estimate of the cosmological constant. If one sets the the inverse AdS_4 scale λ (the size of the throat) in terms of the Planck scale L_P :

$$\lambda^4 = \frac{1}{NL_P^4}$$

and inserts it into the geometric mean relations (55) , one obtains a value for the cosmological constant :

$$\Lambda_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{L_P^4} = \frac{M_P^4}{\sqrt{N}}. \quad (56)$$

which is a nice result because in the $N = \infty$ limit , this value of the cosmological constant vanishes ! This corresponds to the flat space limit $\lambda = 0$. In the next section we will justify setting $a = (1/\lambda) \rightarrow a^4 = NL_P^4$ to derive a similar relation as the Maldacena's expression based on the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM on curved backgrounds (AdS_4).

The reason AdS_4 is relevant to estimate the cosmological constant (vacuum energy density) is because it corresponds to a *vacuum* of the original BF-CS-Higgs inspired-action :

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = 0. \quad Torsion = F_{\mu\nu}^{a5} = 0. \quad \phi^5 = v. \quad \phi^a = 0. \quad (57)$$

the solutions to (57) incorporate AdS_4 spaces in a natural way . Using the decomposition of the $SO(3,2)$ gauge fields (53) in the vacuum equations (57) and eq-(50) one arrives at :

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = 0 &\Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(\omega(e)) + \lambda^2 e_\mu^a \wedge e_\nu^b = 0 \\ d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega + \lambda^2 e \wedge e &= 0 \Rightarrow R = -\lambda^2. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

which is a hallmark of AdS_4 space : spaces of constant negative scalar curvature. Hence the AdS_4 space is a natural *vacuum* of the theory associated with the inspired BF-CS-Higgs model (44). Based on this fact that AdS spaces are natural vacuum solutions, Vasiliev constructed a theory of massless higher spin fields excitations of AdS_4 based on higher spin (higher rank tensors) algebras whose spin ranges $s = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \infty$; i.e higher spin massless fields propagating in curved AdS backgrounds. This procedure does *not* work in Minkowski spacetime. There is an infinite number of terms in this theory involving arbitrary powers of λ . This bypasses the no-go theorems of writing consistent interactions of higher spin fields (greater than $s = 2$) in flat Minkowski spacetime.

4.2 New types of Couplings of Matter to Gravity and YM fields

Recently new (super) string actions have been given by Guendelmann [56] , that can naturally be extended to all p -branes where instead of using an auxiliary world volume metric, like those used in Polyakov-Howe-Tucker actions to implement reparametrization invariance, he introduced a set of $p + 1$ auxiliary scalar fields $\phi^1(\sigma), \phi^2(\sigma), \dots$ that are coupled to the brane coordinates in a nontrivial way through a Jacobian . Such Jacobian is made out of the auxiliary scalars and plays the role of another measure of integration different from using the auxiliary world-volume metric .

$$J = Jacobian = \{\phi^1, \phi^2, \dots, \phi^n\} \equiv \frac{\partial(\phi^1, \phi^2, \dots, \phi^n)}{\partial(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^n)}. \quad (59)$$

which is also called the Nambu-Poisson bracket. In the case of a string $p+1 = 2$ it becomes the ordinary Poisson bracket. Based on the action for a point particle moving in a flat target spacetime :

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\tau e(\tau) \left[-\frac{m^2 \dot{X}^2}{e(\tau)^2} + 1 \right]. \quad (60)$$

Introducing the auxiliary einbein field $e = e(\tau)$ and eliminating it from the action via its algebraic equations of motion and plugging its solution back into the original action gives the well known reparametrization invariant action in terms of a (dimensionless) affine parameter τ along the particle's worldline :

$$S = -m \int d\tau \sqrt{|\dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}_\mu|}. \quad (61)$$

Guendelmann generalized such point particle action to the (super) string case [56] and recently it has been extended to all p -branes and Dirac-Born-Infeld actions by us [57] after making the following correspondence in eq-(60) :

$$(dX/d\tau)^2 \leftrightarrow \{X^{\mu_1}, X^{\mu_2}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}\}^2. \quad m \leftrightarrow T_p. \quad \int d\tau e \leftrightarrow \int d^{p+1}\sigma \{\phi^1, \phi^2, \dots, \phi^{p+1}\}$$

The new p -brane actions are given then :

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^{p+1}\sigma \{\phi^1, \phi^2, \dots, \phi^{p+1}\} \left[-\frac{T_p^2}{(p+1)!} \frac{\{X^{\mu_1}, X^{\mu_2}, X^{\mu_3}, \dots, X^{\mu_{p+1}}\}^2}{\{\phi^1, \phi^2, \dots, \phi^{p+1}\}^2} + 1 \right]. \quad (62)$$

such actions are just another version of the reparametrization invariant Schild actions for p -branes where the auxiliary field e is replaced in (62) by the the Jacobian measure involving the auxiliary Guendelmann scalars. Eliminating e from the Schild action yields brane Dirac-Nambu-Goto actions.

To write down new couplings of matter to YM fields , we will use a similar Lagrangian , although *not* identical , to the one that was given earlier by Wilczek [41] displaying the nontrivial coupling of the scalars ϕ 's to the $SU(N)$ Yang Mills field-strength $G_{\mu\nu}$. One denotes the $SU(N)$ valued field strength as $G_{\mu\nu}$ to avoid confusion with the previous notation for the $SO(3,2)$ -valued $F_{\mu\nu}$.

$$L_{YM} = \frac{\kappa}{g_{YM}^2} \epsilon^{\gamma\delta\rho\tau} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} Tr (G_{\alpha\beta}^{YM} G_{\gamma\delta}^{YM}) \nabla_\mu \phi^A \nabla_\nu \phi^B \nabla_\rho \phi^C \nabla_\tau \phi^D \eta_{AC} \eta_{BD} \quad (63)$$

where one must introduce a parameter κ of $(length)^4$ dimensions to make the action dimensionless.

If one wishes to identify the derivative terms as a $SO(3,2)$ -covariantized measure of integration (a la Guendelmann) we must abandon the terms $\eta_{AC}\eta_{BD}$ used by Wilczek , which are not fully antisymmetric in *all* of its indices, and replace them by a totally anti-symmetric tensor Ω_{ABCD} made out of the the $SO(3,2)$ structure constants. It would be erroneous to use ϵ_{ABCD} becuase this object breaks the $SO(3,2)$ symmetry from the very start. Hence selecting a totally anti-symmetric tensor built from the $SO(3,2)$ structure constants :

$$\Omega_{ABCD} = f_{ABEF}^{GH} f_{CDGH}^{EF} - f_{ACEF}^{GH} f_{BDGH}^{EF} + f_{ADEF}^{GH} f_{BCGH}^{EF} \dots \quad (64)$$

will furnish the correct terms that must be used in the Lagrangian by Wilcezk in order to recast it in the Guendelmann form . The initial choice using the $\eta_{AC}\eta_{BD}$ will *not* work because of the symmetry properties of the $\eta_{AC} = \eta_{CA}$. The structure constants are antisymmetric under $A \leftrightarrow B; E \leftrightarrow F$ and also under the double exchange $AB \leftrightarrow EF$.

Having introduced the Lagrangian (63) one can combine it with the three terms in the BF-CS-Higgs action to bring about a full BF-CS-Higgs-YM theory . This is a very rich model per se and the way to proceed is to look at the full four terms of the BF-CS-Higgs-YM theory and study this system as a whole. But at the moment we are going to simplify matters and just *evaluate* the latter Lagrangian (with the Ω_{ABCD} coefficients) in the specific scalar background given by the previous vev of the scalars $\phi : < \phi^5 > = v$ and $< \phi^a > = 0; a = 1, 2, 3, 4$. These simplifying conditions fix the gauge covariant derivatives at tree level :

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_\mu \phi^a &= \partial_\mu \phi^a + A_\mu^{ab} \phi^b + A_\mu^{a5} \phi^5 = A_\mu^{a5} \phi^5 = \lambda v e_\mu^a. \\ \nabla_\mu \phi^5 &= \partial_\mu \phi^5 + A_\mu^{5a} \phi^a = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The vielbeins in curved background satisfy :

$$e_\mu^a e_\rho^c \eta_{ac} \equiv g_{\mu\rho}. \quad e_\nu^b e_\tau^d \eta_{bd} \equiv g_{\nu\tau}. \quad (65)$$

The only nonvanishing antisymmetric combinations of the $SO(3,2)$ covariant derivatives are :

$$\nabla_\mu \phi^a \nabla_\nu \phi^b \nabla_\rho \phi^c \nabla_\tau \phi^d \Omega_{abcd} = \lambda^4 v^4 e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\rho^c e_\tau^d \Omega_{abcd} = \lambda^4 v^4 \Omega_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}(x). \quad (66)$$

The *dualized* YM fields are defined :

$$\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} G_{\alpha\beta}$$

Note that the *covariantized* permutation symbols are defined :

$$e_{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \equiv \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}. \quad e^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}.$$

hence the dualized variables are not strictly speaking the same as the Hodge duals. This permits to rewrite the Guendelmann version of the Wilczek's action , in the Chern-Simons form :

$$\frac{\kappa \lambda^4 v^4}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4 x \quad e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\rho^c e_\tau^d \Omega_{abcd} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\rho\tau} = \frac{\kappa \lambda^4 v^4}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4 x \Omega_{\mu\nu\rho\tau}(x) \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\rho\tau} \Rightarrow \quad (67)$$

$$S \sim \frac{\kappa \lambda^4 v^4}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{g(x)} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\rho\tau}$$

The Lagrangian (67) has as Chern-Simons-like look to it associated with the *dualized* YM fields $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ variables after recalling that :

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \equiv e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\rho^c e_\tau^d \Omega_{abcd} \sim \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \sqrt{\det|g|}$$

The action (67) is invariant under volume-preserving diffs (it is not fully reparametrization invariant like a Chern-Simons action).

Barring the subtleties involved in the quenched-reduced approximation in curved backgrounds, as we shall see next, the large N limit of the action (67) has a formal *correspondence*, but is *not* identical, to an action for a Chern-Simons membrane embedded in curved $4D$ backgrounds. The membrane coordinates are represented in this case by the *dualized* coordinate variables $\tilde{X}(\sigma)$, after imposing the *correspondence* $\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \{\tilde{X}^\mu, \tilde{X}^\nu\}$ which must *not* be confused with an actual identity.

For example, in the large N limit, the volume-preserving diffs invariant Chern-Simons-like looking action (67), defined in an AdS_4 background, has a formal correspondence (not an identity) to a Chern-Simons *dual* membrane living on the boundary $S^1 \times S^2$. The fact that the large N limit involves the *dualized* variables $\tilde{X}^\mu(\sigma)$ of the Chern-Simons dual membrane is very suggestive within the framework of the AdS/CFT duality correspondence.

Had we used Wilczek's initial Lagrangian from the very start, without using the appropriate Guendelmann measure, one would have obtained an expression :

$$S = \frac{\kappa \lambda^4 v^4}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4x G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} \quad (68)$$

invariant under volume-preserving diffs. Eq-(68) is obtained after using the identities $\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}$ and the relations (65) which define the metric tensor. It is at this point where we will encounter technical subtleties if one naively uses the large N quenched-reduced approximation to the $SU(N)$ YM theory in *curved* backgrounds. To contract spacetime indices in the action (68) requires the spacetime metric, which in turn, has a nontrivial world-volume dependence : $g_{\mu\nu}(X(\sigma))$.

V. The large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in curved backgrounds

In **3.2** we investigated the large N limit of quenched QCD in *flat* backgrounds which generated brane actions (Chern-Simons branes from the θ terms). Another interesting question is what is the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in *curved* backgrounds. We will see that this limit is connected to W Geometry. We have shown in [22] that $SU(N)$ Self Dual YM in flat $4D$ spaces, in the large $N = \infty$ limit, was given by an effective $D = 6$ -dim theory. A dimensional reduction from $D = 6 \rightarrow D = 4$ that involved a mixing of " colour " degrees of freedom and spatial ones (mixing of colour and spacetime coordinates) furnished Plebanski equations for $4D$ Self Dual Gravity. If one starts already with a *curved* background the large N limit of $SU(N)$ SDYM is going to be more involved because one cannot longer mix the $N = \infty$ colour indices (σ coordinates) with spacetime variables in a trivial way. This will be the purpose of this section.

The YM action for a $SU(N)$ YM theory in a curved background (say AdS_4) :

$$S = -\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}. \quad (69)$$

Suppose we wanted to proceed along identical lines as section **3.2** to perform the large N limit via a Moyal deformation procedure. This is the moment where we are going to encounter ambiguities and problems in using the quenched, reduced approximation of section **3.2**.

Firstly, due to the presence of the density $\sqrt{g(x)}$ that varies from point to point, in (68) one will end up by having a *variable* tension. As one changes the reference point x_o , one can reabsorb $\sqrt{g}$ in the definition of the tension. Since the background spaces are no longer *flat*, quenching and reducing the theory to a single point loses its invariant meaning. Flat spaces are isotropic and homogeneous and the translation operator is indeed an invariant Casimir operator of the algebra. This is not the case in AdS spaces. The P_μ operator is not a Casimir of the $SO(3, 2)$ algebra. Its obstruction is the curvature.

Despite these subtleties, and the problem of having a *variable* tension, let us suppose for a moment that we were to use the quenched-reduced approximation (in flat spaces) of section **3.2** to the action (68) that generated branes in the large N limit when the background spaces were flat. What is going to happen now is that we will encounter three different possibilities and only one of them has the correct form of a Dolan-Tchakian action for a 3-brane action on curved AdS_4 : .

Because one has now the three combinations :

$$G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} = G^{\mu\nu}G^{\rho\tau}g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\tau} = G_{\mu\nu}G_{\rho\tau}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\tau}. \quad (70)$$

one will generate three different expressions in the large N limit. The product of the $SU(N)$ YM field strengths becomes , after using the WWGM deformation quantization procedure that maps products of matrix-valued operators into the Moyal star products of their corresponding symbols $\mathcal{A}_\mu(q^i, p^i) = \mathcal{A}_\mu(\sigma)$:

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{YM}G_{\gamma\delta}^{YM} \Rightarrow \{\mathcal{A}_\alpha, \mathcal{A}_\beta\} * \{\mathcal{A}_\gamma, \mathcal{A}_\delta\} \Rightarrow \{X_\alpha, X_\beta\} * \{X_\gamma, X_\delta\}. \quad (71)$$

Finally, using eqs-(68, 70), after taking the classical limit $\hbar = 0$, which is equivalent to taking the large N limit, turns Moyal brackets into Poisson brackets and noncommutative star products into ordinary ones, the large N limit the YM action becomes :

$$G_{\mu\nu}G_{\rho\tau}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\tau}. \quad N = \infty \Rightarrow$$

$$S = -(\frac{2\pi}{a})^4 \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4\sigma \{X_\mu, X_\nu\}_{PB} \{X_\rho, X_\tau\}_{PB} g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\tau}. \quad (72)$$

It is at this point we encounter a *caveat*. Before one tries to relate the action (72), in a naive fashion, to the Dolan-Tchakian action (in the conformal gauge) for a 3-brane we must stress that the action (72) would be solely equivalent to the Dolan-Tchakian action (in the conformal gauge) if the embedding four-dim background were *flat*. Because the background is *curved* one cannot naively raise and lower spacetime indices *inside* the Poisson brackets : one *cannot* naively set : $\{g_{\mu\rho}X^\rho, g_{\nu\tau}X^\tau\} \equiv \{X_\mu, X_\nu\}$ to be equal to : $g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\tau}\{X^\rho, X^\tau\}$ as required in the Dolan-Tchakian action. The reason is that now the Poisson brackets involving the AdS_4 background metric components $\{g_{\mu\rho}(X(\sigma)), X^\tau(\sigma)\}$ are clearly *not zero*. Not even in the conformally flat case.

Thus the action (72) is *not* equivalent to the Dolan-Tchakian action for a 3-brane moving in a curved AdS_4 spacetime (in the conformal gauge). In order to arrive at the Dolan-Tchakian action one needs to choose the gauge/fields correspondence in a different way that takes into account the subtleties of the index-position :

$$G^{\alpha\beta}G^{\gamma\delta} \Rightarrow \{\mathcal{A}^\alpha, \mathcal{A}^\beta\} * \{\mathcal{A}^\gamma, \mathcal{A}^\delta\} \Rightarrow \{X^\alpha, X^\beta\} * \{X^\gamma, X^\delta\}. \quad N = \infty \Rightarrow \quad (73a)$$

$$S = -\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^4 \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4\sigma \{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_{PB} \{X^\rho, X^\tau\}_{PB} g_{\mu\rho} g_{\nu\tau}. \quad (73b)$$

which now has indeed the correct Dolan-Tchakian form in *curved* backgrounds. The remaining hurdle lies now in the presence of the density $\sqrt{g(x)}$, which could be reabsorbed into a *variable* tension.

The third possibility yields another expression that *differs* from the previous two :

$$S = -\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^4 \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^4\sigma \{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_{PB} \{X_\mu, X_\nu\}_{PB}. \quad (74)$$

Therefore, we have encountered definite ambiguities and problems in using the quenched-reduced approximation to evaluate the large N limits of actions of $SU(N)$ YM in *curved* backgrounds. There is no ambiguity whatsoever in *flat* backgrounds, as the results in section 3.2 have shown. In essence what this means is that the Dolan-Tchakian actions when $D = 2n$ no longer have the Yang-Mills form in curved backgrounds $F \wedge^* F$.

The correct way to proceed in order to avoid these ambiguities, due to the nature of curved spaces, is to write the *precise* expression that does *not* involve quenching nor reduction. We will see now why the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in *curved* backgrounds differs from the results in *flat* backgrounds and is related to the gauge theories of $w_\infty, w_\infty^\infty$ algebras which are the infinite-dim algebras of area preserving diffs in two and four dimensions, respectively.

Similar results will follow for the actions of Generalized Yang Mills (GYM) theories in *curved* $4k$ -dim backgrounds. A deformation of the $SO(4k)$ Lie algebra associated with these GYM theories in *curved* spaces yields Generalized Gravitational theories [10] in $D = 4k + 4k$ -dimensions. GYM theories are defined by Lagrangians of the form $(F \wedge F \wedge \dots \wedge F)^2$ in $4k$ -dimensions and Generalized Gravitational theories are defined by Lagrangians of the type $(R \wedge R \wedge \dots \wedge R)^2$ in $4k$ -dimensions. The wedge products involve k -factors. F and R are two-forms.

We shall begin first with the old results which allow to write the correct large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM defined in a $4D$ curved manifold Σ . We will base our findings in the results of [37-39] based on the construction of gauge theories of the Virasoro and higher conformal spin $w_\infty, w_\infty^\infty$ algebras. Vasiliev's construction of higher spin theories on AdS spaces [15] is analogous to the Fedosov deformation quantization [18] by using, instead, auxiliary spinorial coordinates for the " fermionic phase space ". The higher spin symmetries are realized by the algebras of oscillators carrying spinorial representations of the spacetime symmetries. The conformal group in $3D$ is also the isometry group of AdS_4 : $SO(3,2)$

and whose algebra is locally isomorphic to the symplectic algebra in four-dimensions : $so(3, 2) \sim sp(4, R)$. Hence, it is not surprisng that symplectic geometry has an important role in all these models.

Park [36] had already made these result manifest when he showed that $4D$ Self Dual Gravity is in fact related to a WZNW model based on $SU(\infty)$. $4D$ SD Gravity is the non-linear sigma model based in $2D$ whose target space is the " group manifold " of area-preserving diffs of another $2D$ -dim manifold. Roughly speaking, this is saying that the effective $D = 4$ manifold where Self Dual gravity is defined is " spliced " into two $2D$ -submanifolds : one submanifold is the original $2D$ base manifold where the non-linear sigma model is defined. The other $2D$ submanifold is the target group manifold of area-preserving diffs of a two-dim sphere S^2 .

Cho et al [37] went further and generalized this particular Self Dual Gravity case to the full fledged gravity in $D = 2 + 2 = 4$ dimensions, and in general, to *any* combinations of $m+n$ -dimensions. Their main result is that $m+n$ -dim Einstein gravity can be identified with an m -dimensional generally invariant gauge theory of $Diffs N$, where N is an n -dim manifold. Locally the $m+n$ -dim space can be written as $\Sigma = M \times N$ and the metric g_{AB} decomposes :

$$g_{AB} \Rightarrow \gamma_{\mu\nu}(x, y) + e^2 \phi_{ab}(x, y) A_\mu^a(x, y) A_\nu^b(x, y). \quad e A_\mu^a(x, y) \phi_{ab}(x, y). \quad \phi_{ab}(x, y) \dots \quad (75)$$

where e is the gauge coupling constant. This decomposition must *not* be confused with the Kaluza-Klein reduction where one imposes an isometry restriction on the g_{AB} that turns A_μ^a into a gauge connection associated with the gauge group G generated by isometry. Dropping the isometry restrictions allows *all* the fields to depend on *all* the coordinates x, y . Nevertheless $A_\mu^a(x, y)$ can still be identified as a connection associated with the infinite-dim gauge group of $Diffs N$. The gauge transformations are now given in terms of Lie-brackets and Lie derivatives :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu^a &= -\frac{1}{e} D_\mu \xi^a = -\frac{1}{e} (\partial_\mu \xi^a - e [A_\mu, \xi]^a) = -\frac{1}{e} (\partial_\mu - e \mathcal{L}_{A_\mu}) \xi^a \\ A_\mu &\equiv A_\mu^a \partial_a. \quad \mathcal{L}_{A_\mu} \xi^a \equiv [A_\mu, \xi]^a \\ \delta \phi_{ab} &= -[\xi, \phi]_{ab}. \quad \delta \gamma_{\mu\nu} = -[\xi, \gamma_{\mu\nu}]. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

In particular, if the relevant algebra is the area-preserving diffs of S^2 , given by the suitable basis dependent limit $SU(\infty)$, one induces a natural Lie-Poisson structure generated by the gauge fields A_μ . The Lie derivative of f along a vector ξ is the Lie bracket $[\xi, f]$ which coincides in this case with the Poisson bracket $\{\xi, f\}$. This implies that the Lie brackets of two generators of the area-preserving diffs S^2 is given precisely by the generator associated with their respective Poisson brackets (a Lie-Poisson structure) :

$$[L_f, L_g] = L_{\{f, g\}}. \quad (77)$$

This relation is derived by taking the vectors ξ_1^a, ξ_2^a , along which we compute the Lie derivatives, to be the symplectic gradients of two functions $f(\sigma^1, \sigma^2), g(\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$:

$$\xi_1^a = \Omega^{ab} \partial_b f. \quad \xi_2^a = \Omega^{ab} \partial_b g$$

When nontrivial topologies are involved one must include harmonic forms ω into the definition of ξ^a [42] allowing central terms for the algebras. This relation can be extended to the volume-preserving diffs of N by means of the Nambu-Poisson brackets :

$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\} = \text{Jacobian} = \frac{\partial(A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n)}{\partial(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^n)} \Rightarrow$$

$$[L_{A_1}, L_{A_2}, \dots, L_{A_n}] = L_{\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}}. \quad (78)$$

which states that the Nambu-commutator of n - generators of the volume-preserving diffs of $\mathcal{N}$ is given by the generator associated with their corresponding Nambu-Poisson brackets. The generators are obtained in this case by taking the multi-symplectic gradients of functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}$ of $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^n$ given in terms of the inverse of the multi-symplectic n -form Ω :

$$\xi_{(i)}^{a_1} = \Omega^{a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_n} \partial_{a_2} f_1^{(i)} \partial_{a_3} f_2^{(i)} \dots \partial_{a_n} f_{n-1}^{(i)} \dots$$

When the dimension of $\mathcal{N}$ is even , locally one can write the volume form in terms of products of area-forms $\Omega = \omega \wedge \omega \dots$; i.e in an appropriate frame the Jacobian (Nambu-Poisson bracket) factorizes locally into a product of ordinary Poisson brackets. However this doesn't mean that area-preserving has a one-to-one correspondence with volume preserving. There are volume-preserving diffs that do not necessarily preserve areas. The suitable star product will be the Zariski product related to the deformation program of Nambu-Poisson mechanics ; i.e. deformation theory in multi-symplectic geometry.

The curvature scalar $R^{(m+n)}$ decomposes into :

$$-\frac{1}{16\pi G} R^{(m+n)} \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \sqrt{\det|\gamma_{\mu\nu}|} \sqrt{\det|\phi_{ab}|} \times \{ \gamma^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} + \frac{e^2}{4} \phi_{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\tau}^b \gamma^{\mu\rho} \gamma^{\nu\tau} +$$

$$\phi^{ab} R_{ab}^{(n)} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \phi^{ab} \phi^{cd} D_\mu \phi_{ab} D_\nu \phi_{cd} + \dots \frac{1}{4} \phi^{ab} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\rho\tau} \partial_a \gamma_{\mu\nu} \partial_b \gamma_{\rho\tau} + \dots \nabla_\mu J^\mu + \nabla_a J^a \} \quad (79)$$

Therefore, Einstein gravity in $m+n$ -dim describes an m -dim generally invariant field theory under the gauge transformations or *Diffs* N . Notice how A_μ^a couples to the graviton $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$, meaning that the graviton is charged /gauged in this theory and to the ϕ_{ab} fields. A charged gravity may be related to the recently constructed Nonabelian Geometry [59] . The metric ϕ_{ab} on N can be identified as a non-linear sigma field whose self interaction potential term is given by : $\phi^{ab} R_{ab}^{(n)}$. The currents J^μ, J^a are functions of $\gamma_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu, \phi_{ab}$. Their contribution to the action is essential when there are boundaries involved; i.e. the *AdS/CFT* correspondence.

When the internal manifold $\mathcal{N}$ is a homogeneous compact space one can perform a harmonic expansion of the fields w.r.t the internal y coordinates, and after integrating

w.r.t these coordinates y , one will generate an infinite-component field theory on the m -dimensional space. A reduction of the $Diffs\ N$, via the inner automorphisms of a subgroup G of the $Diffs\ N$, yields the usual Einstein-Yang-Mills theory interacting with a nonlinear sigma field. But in general, the theory described in (81) is by far richer than the latter theory.

A crucial fact of the decomposition (77) is that *each* single term in (81) is by itself independently invariant under $Diffs\ N$. The second term , for example , :

$$\frac{1}{16\pi G} \sqrt{\det|\gamma_{\mu\nu}|} \sqrt{\det|\phi_{ab}|} \times \frac{e^2}{4} \phi_{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\tau}^b \gamma^{\mu\rho} \gamma^{\nu\tau}. \quad (80)$$

is precisely the one that will be related to the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM on *curved* backgrounds.

One can choose a preferred-volume gauge in the internal space $\mathcal{N}$ so that $\sqrt{\det|\phi_{ab}|} = 1$ leaving a *residual* symmetry under volume-preserving $diffs\ N$. Identifying the coordinates $y^1, y^2 \dots y^4$ of $\mathcal{N}$ with the 4 coordinates $\sigma^1, \sigma^2 \dots \sigma^4$ of a " world volume of a 3-brane sitting " at each spacetime point x ; using the decomposition of a four-volume form Ω in terms of the exterior product of the area-two-forms , $\Omega_{(4)} = \omega \wedge \omega$; identifying the $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ with $g_{\mu\nu}$ and recurring to the Lie-Poisson structure of eq- (79) that states that the Lie bracket of two generators of the area-preserving $diffs$ is given by the generator associated with their Poisson brackets will allow us to impose the one-to-one correspondence between :

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a \partial_a = [D_\mu, D_\nu]^a \partial_a \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma) \equiv \partial_{[\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu]}(x, \sigma) + \{ \mathcal{A}_\mu(x, \sigma), \mathcal{A}_\nu(x, \sigma) \}_{PB}. \quad (81a)$$

so that when $\sqrt{\det|\phi_{ab}|} = 1$:

$$\int d^4 \sigma \phi_{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{b, \mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \int d^4 \sigma [\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma) \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma)]. \quad (81b)$$

There is however a *mismatch* in (81b) because the l.h.s involves the metric ϕ_{ab} components of the internal space $\mathcal{N}$ which are clearly *not* constant. To remedy this problem, one must work with the most general *curved* phase spaces (symplectic manifolds) ; i.e. when the world-volume of the " branes sitting " at each spacetime point x^μ , are themselves *curved*. The naive Moyal star product ceases to be *associative* in curved phase space and the Fedosov $\bullet$ product and trace is essential [18] . In this case the effective gravitational theory in the $8D$ space, which locally can be written as $\Sigma \times \mathcal{N}$, is connected to gravity in the *cotangent bundle* of a symplectic four manifold Σ .

Therefore , Σ must be a symplectic four-manifold itself to carry out the one-to-one correspondence (81) . Hull constructed $2D\ w_\infty$ gravity from a $4D$ Self Dual Gravity associated with the cotangent space of a $2D$ Riemann surface [43]. The author [18] has shown that Hull's construction is in fact related to a Fedosov deformation program in curved phase spaces . Hence it is the Fedosov trace that must be invoked in eq-(81) to attain a proper correspondence. The Fedosov trace is very elaborate, and recaptures the role of using the internal metric ϕ_{ab} to contract indices. These results will be reported in [71] .

Hence, the correct correspondence , in the large N limit, is then :

$$\int d^4\sigma \phi_{ab} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{b,\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow \lim(\hbar = 0) \text{Tr}_{\text{Fedosov}} [\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma) \bullet \mathcal{W}^{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma)]. \quad (81c)$$

where the Weyl-algebra-bundle-valued field :

$$\mathcal{W} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \bullet \wedge \mathcal{A}$$

must be used, see [18].

In the limiting *flat* phase space case, the Fedosov trace reduces to the standard one used in **3.2** given in terms of the ordinary Moyal brackets :

$$\text{Tr}_{\text{Fedosov}} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma) \bullet \mathcal{W}^{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma) \rightarrow \int d^4\sigma \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma) * \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(x, \sigma)$$

and , in the consequent $\hbar = 0$ limit , we will then have a matching with the l.h.s of (81b) , as it ought to be, because in this special case the metric components ϕ_{ab} are constant. In the more general curved phase space scenario we have to use the rather intricate expressions for the Fedosov Trace and the ϕ_{ab} components will *no* longer be trivially constant. Matrix models of the type $\Phi[X, Y]^2$ have been investigated in the past and in the large N limit, have a direct matching with the l.h.s of (81b).

To sum up : Fedosov's deformation quantization is needed to show that the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM on a *curved* four-dim symplectic space Σ can be subsumed by a (restricted) Einstein gravitational theory in $D = 8$ dimensions, the cotangent bundle of Σ_4 . Locally the $D = 8$ space can be written as $\Sigma_4 \times \mathcal{N}$. The internal space $\mathcal{N}$ can be seen as the 4-dim " world-volume " of a 3-brane sitting at each spacetime point x^μ of Σ .

Notice that the results of Cho et al [37] are valid in general and do not require having to use cotangent spaces to symplectic manifolds. In particular, $4D$ Gauge theories based on the Virasoro algebra [38] (diffs of a circle) and the w_∞ (area-preserving diffs) algebras have been constructed in [39] using the Feigin-Fuks-Kaplansky representation. They share the same physical interpretation. Because w_∞ is the algebra of area-preserving diffs in *two*-dimensions one must now have for the internal space a *two*-dimensional one, and the appropriate action then is described by an effective $D = 6$ -dimensional action Integrating afterwards w.r.t the two internal variables yields an effective $4D$ spacetime theory with an infinite numbe of field-components. Such actions have been constructed in full detail in [38, 39]. Moreover, Higgs matter fields were introduced with a typical quartic potential and which generated towers of massive spin 2 fields (massive higher spin fields in the case of w_∞ gauge theories) after an spontaneous symmetry breaking. Massless fields in AdS can be obtained by taking products of two singletons. Products of three or more singletons yield massive fields corresponding to the massive representations of AdS groups. In section 5 we'll see the importance that these gauge theories of the Virasoro and $w_\infty, w_\infty^\infty$ algebras have in the W_∞ Geometry.

Another interesting project that warrants a further investigation is to study branes moving on product manifolds of the type $M \times G$, where G is group manifold associated

with the *volume* preserving diffs of another manifold . Mason and Sparling have shown that 4D Self Dual Gravity can be obtained from the Self Dual equations associated with a gauge theory of volume preserving diffs in four dimensions , after a dimensional reduction from the effective $D = 8$ theory down to $D = 4$ is performed. The 4D Plebanski's equations are recovered also in this way. Also relevant is to study p -branes moving on $M \times \mathcal{LG}$ where the latter is the Loop Space associated with the maps of a circle S^1 onto a Lie group G . This is the familiar case described by (untwisted affine) Kac-Moody-Virasoro algebras. Loop algebras associated with w_∞ algebras have been discussed in [42]. The generators are functions of the circle coordinate σ (an angle). A Fourier mode decomposition followed by an integration w.r.t the angle coordinate allows to construct similar types of actions like those given in [37-39] based on gauge theories of the Virasoro and w_∞ algebras.

To summarize the contents of **5.1** :

Using the main result of Cho et al [37] that gravity in $m + n$ dimensions can be identified to an m -dimensional generally invariant gauge theories of *Diffs* $\mathcal{N}$ and the crucial role of Fedosov's deformation quantization in curved symplectic phase spaces one has :

(i) The large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in a *curved* symplectic space Σ_4 can be subsumed inside a (restricted) 8D gravitational theory corresponding to the cotangent bundle of Σ_4 . The one-to-one correspondence between the classical $\hbar = 0$ (large N) limit of the Fedosov deformation program and the gauge theories of area-preserving diffs of a 4D curved internal $\mathcal{N}$ space, were given by eqs-(81c) The full details of this result is currently under investigation [71] .

(ii) Nonlinear sigma models in m -dimensions whose target spaces are the volume-preserving diffs of a n -dim manifold are related to a restricted gravitational theory in $m + n$ dimensions. Generalized Self Dual Gravity in $4k$ -dimensions [10] are the higher dimensional extension of Park's results [36].

(iii) The deformation quantization program of the $4k$ -dim Generalized Yang-Mills in *curved* backgrounds [10] should be given by an effective Generalized Gravitational theory in $8k$ -dimensions [10] . The introduction of the $4k$ - auxiliary coordinates stems from the Fedosov deformation quantization of the symplectic structures which emerge from the large N limit associated with GYM theories.

(iv) The more general theories of volume-preserving diffs in *odd* dimensions require the Zariski star product , related to the deformation quantization of Nambu-Poisson brackets. We are not aware of what is the analog of the Fedosov deformation program in the odd-dimensional " curved multi-symplectic " geometry case .

(v) the *zero mode sector* of the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM theories in *curved* backgrounds yields 3-branes Dolan-Tchraikian actions (in the conformal gauge).

5.2 A Plausible Geometrical Interpretation of the *AdS/CFT* duality

After this long route through section **5.1** we are finally in a position to derive the analog of the Maldacena relation (43) from the results of the previous section and provide with a very plausible geometrical interpretation of the Maldacena's *AdS/CFT* correspondence. Since the *correct* large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in curved symplectic spaces can be subsumed by an effective $m + m$ -dimensional Gravitational Theory, associated with the

cotangent space of the symplectic manifold, it is perfectly legitimate to introduce now the Planck Scale into the whole picture L_P .

Therefore it is perfectly legitimate to write : $\lambda^{-4} = NL_P^4$ in eq-(55, 56) and obtain a very appealing value of the cosmological constant : $\Lambda_c = M_P^4/\sqrt{N}$ (which becomes small in the large N limit) and to impose the condition on the scale $a = (1/\lambda)$ in eq-(43) (a was the inverse lattice spacing of the large N QCD that generated bags) asociated with the world volume of a bag sitting at each spacetime point x . This resulted in an expression for (43) similar to Maldacena's result $\rho^4(AdS_5) \sim Ng_{YM}^2 L_P^4$. We believe this is *no* numerical coincidence but stems from the fact that the underlying theory , when the large N limit is taken, is higher-dimensional gravity as the results of [37] indicated. For the role that the holographic renormalization group has in the cosmological constant, we refer to [68].

The results of Cho et al [37] are valid in the more general case than cotangent spaces to symplectic manifolds . For instance, let us take AdS_4 gravity . The topology of AdS_4 is $S^1 \times R^3$. The results of Cho et al [37] imply the following . Lets take the two *dual* cases :

(i) $m = 1, n = 3$ and (ii) $m = 3, n = 1$. In the first case (i) we have a generally invariant gauge theory of diffs R^3 defined over the circle S^1 . In the second case we have a gauge theory of diffs S^1 defined over R^3 . This is the gauge theory of the Virasoro group ; i.e the gauge theory of an internal string. Not surprising, conformal invariance is an essential ingredient.

The crux of the argument is to view AdS_4 gravity from the boundary $S^1 \times S^2$ perspective. Since AdS_4 is a homogeneous hyperbolic space of constant negative scalar curvature , we have then two " dual " perspectives of AdS_4 gravity from the 3D boundary :

(i) *a gauge theory of diffs S^1 defined on S^2*

(ii) *a gauge theory of diffs S^2 defined on S^1*

Setting asides issues of signature , it is very reasonable to suggest that : these two gauge theories are not only two dual perspectives of the same AdS_4 gravitational theory, viewed from the boundary, but also they are two dual theories in the sense of the strong/weak coupling duality : $g_1^2 g_2^2 \sim 1$. The area-preserving diffs S^2 is isomorphic to $SU(\infty)$, hence the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM appears very naturally.

In the next section we'll discuss noncritical W_∞ strings moving in $AdS_4 \times S^7$; their relation to the physics of Self Dual Membranes living on the boundary $S^1 \times S^2$, and to the 3D continuous $SU(\infty)(SL(\infty))$ Toda theory, that has an underlying W_∞ symmetry. We will see once more how gauge theories of area-preserving diffs (like $w_\infty, SU(\infty)$) are precisely needed to establish :

- The one-to-one correspondence between higher *conformal* spin symmetries in 3D , an *extended* CFT having a W_∞ symmetry $\leftrightarrow$ Vasiliev's higher spin symmetries in the *target* space given by AdS_4 . Vasiliev's construction is based on deformations of the algebra $so(3, 2) \sim sp(4, R)$ which is the algebra related to the conformal group on the 3D boundary $S^1 \times S^2$ and is also the isometry group of AdS_4 . Such 3D theory with a higher conformal spin symmetry is precisely related to the noncritical W_∞ string which is an *effective* 3D

theory . It is a theory of a " thickened" string ; it is a membrane-like theory. We recall that when one takes the $N = \infty$ limit new dimensions are induced. Hence W_∞ strings are effectively a $3D$ extended CFT.

VI

6.1 W Geometry and W_∞ Strings

To finalize this work we shall concentrate in the reasons why we believe that W_∞ symmetry, and its higher dimensional extensions, volume-preserving diffs, are very important in M theory. In particular, we will perform a Vasiliev star product deformation of the BF-CS-Higgs inspired action in 4.1 which generated the MMCW actions for gravity plus the cosmological constant and Gauss-Bonnet invariant. In the last sections we have obtained AdS_4 as a vacuum solution of the BF-CS-Higgs inspired model and argued why the large N limit of $SU(N)$ YM in *curved* spaces can be subsumed into a higher dimensional gravitational theory .

The main points of this section are :

(i) To realize that noncritical W_∞ strings , moving in $AdS_4 \times S^7$, are the sought-after theories with higher *conformal* spin symmetries of an effective $3D$ " worldsheet " $\leftrightarrow$ Vasiliev's higher spin gauge symmetries of the target AdS_4 spacetime $\leftrightarrow 3D$ higher conformal spin symmetries associated with the conformal group of the boundary $SO(3,2)$, the isometry group of AdS_4 .

(ii) The effective $3D$ higher conformal spin field theory of W_∞ strings is related to the $3D$ continuous $SU(\infty)(SL(\infty))$ Toda theory obtained from a Killing symmetry reduction of $4D$ Self Dual Gravity [22, 36] . And the latter can be obtained from the $SU(\infty)$ SDYM equations in four-dimensions [22] .

(iii) Such $3D$ continuous Toda theory can also be obtained from the equations of motion for a Self Dual Membrane [22] . Membranes live on the boundary of AdS_4 , hence , one should expect a noncritical W_∞ string sector of the membrane's spectrum to live in $AdS_4 \times S^7 \leftrightarrow$ Vasiliev's higher spin fields propagating in the target AdS_4 spacetime.

(iv) The alledged $D = 11$ critical dimension of the supermembrane coincides precisely with the value of the dimension associated with a *noncritical* W_∞ superstring devoid of quantum superconformal anomalies [22] . Using a BRST analysis, we have shown that a nilpotent BRST charge operator, associated with the noncritical W_∞ superstring , can be constructed by adjoining a $q = N + 1$ unitary superconformal model of the super W_N algebra , in the $N = \infty$ limit, to a critical W_∞ superstring spectrum. Therefore, we have an anomaly-free *noncritical* W_∞ superstring moving in $D = 11$.

Similar analysis followed for the bosonic *noncritical* W_∞ string and we found [22] that $D = 27$ was the required dimension of the target spacetime . This is also the dimension of the alledgedly anomaly-free bosonic membrane.

(v) Conformal invariance was instrumental in contraining the types of backgrounds that strings can propagate. Conformal invariance at the quantum level demanded the vanishing of the β functions associated with the worldsheet couplings. And this implied that the target spacetime backgrounds must be classical solutions of the (super) gravitational equations of motion : like $AdS_4 \times S^7$.

Extending these arguments to W_∞ strings , propagating on $AdS_4 \times S^7$, an extended anomaly-free quantum CFT based on the W_∞ symmetry algebra (area-preserving) of the W_∞ string , should impose strong constraints on the type of target spacetime backgrounds they can propagate , and hence $AdS_4 \times S^7$ should be one of them $\leftrightarrow$ a (super) membrane living at the boundary of AdS_4 .

In one scoop we can argue that : This particular noncritical W_∞ (super) string theory behaves like a Self Dual Supermembrane moving in target $11D$ backgrounds Based on the correspondence between Self Duality and Conformal invariance [36] , it is reasonable to assume that this particular noncritical W_∞ string theory actually lives on the *boundary* of AdS_4 . In the parlance of CFT, one is " gluing " the CFT associated with the noncritical W_∞ string (an effective $3D$ theory) onto the conformal boundary of AdS_4 .

The other reasons which support our belief that W symmetry is of importance in M theory are the following :

- $2D$ W_∞ geometry is related to the Fedosov Deformation program associated with the symplectic geometry of the cotangent bundles of $2D$ Riemannian surfaces; the crucial role that $4D$ Self Dual Gravity has in the construction of w_∞ gravity actions was emphasized earlier by Hull [18]. Geometric induced actions for W_∞ gravity based on the coadjoint orbit method associated with $SL(\infty, R)$ WZNW models were constructed by Nissimov, Pacheva and Vaysburd [23]. See also the work by Chapline and Rodgers [44, 70] . What this suggests is that a restricted higher-dim gravitational theory is connected to w_∞ gravity in lower dimensions.

4D Self Dual Gravity $\leftrightarrow$ 2D w_∞ gravity

- W_∞ gravity , in the lightcone gauge, has a hidden $SL(\infty, R)$ Kac-Moody symmetry. Likewise, the $SL(\infty)$ Toda model obtained from a rotational Killing symmetry reduction of $4D$ Self Dual Gravity (an effective $3D$ theory) has a w_∞ symmetry [36] . $SL(\infty)$ Toda models can also be constructed via a Drinfeld-Sokolov Hamiltonian reduction of constrained WZNW models . Once again we can see the intricate relationship between self duality and conformal field theory in higher dimensions.

- What perhaps is the most significant and salient feature of Chern-Simons p -branes is the fact that they admit an infinite number of secondary constraints which form an infinite dimensional closed algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket. [11] Such algebra *contains* the classical $w_{1+\infty}$ as a subalgebra . The latter algebra corresponds to the area-preserving diffeomorphisms of a cylinder.; the w_∞ algebra corresponds to the area-preserving diffs of a plane; $su(\infty)$ for a sphere.....[14]. These w_∞ algebras are the higher conformal spin $s = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \infty$ algebraic extensions of the $2D$ Virasoro algebra. The topology of the two-dim surface determines the type of infinite-dim algebras involved.

- Deformation quantization, nonlocality and higher spin algebras.

A (Moyal) Quantization *deforms* the w_∞ to W_∞ [58-60] . The latter algebras admit central extensions in all higher conformal spin sectors. The former only admitted central charges in the Virasoro sector. Higher Spin Algebras (superalgebras) in dimensions greater than two have been described by Vasiliev and in [15] were used to construct higher spin gauge interactions of massive particles in AdS_3 spaces. These higher spin algebras

have been instrumental lately in [16] to construct the $N = 8$ Higher Spin Supergravity in AdS_4 which is conjectured to be the field theory limit of M theory on $AdS_4 \times S^7$.

Crucial in the construction of the Vasiliev higher spin algebras is the noncommutative star products and the fact that these algebras required an Anti de Sitter space. For the relevance of Moyal Brackets in M theory we refer to Fairlie [19]. It has been speculated that the W_∞ -symmetry of W_∞ strings after a Higgs-like spontaneous symmetry breakdown yields the infinite massive tower of string states.

Moyal star products are non-local due to the infinite number of derivatives. This nonlocality in phase space is translated into a spacetime nonlocality. This in conjunction with the fact that Anti de Sitter spaces are required may be relevant in understanding the AdS/CFT duality conjecture. W algebras were essential to identify the missing states in the AdS/CFT correspondence [45]. Higher Derivative Gravity is also very relevant in the AdS/CFT correspondence [49].

- Singletons/doubletons and compositeness .

The massless excitations of the CFT living on the projective boundary of Anti de Sitter space, associated with the propagation of branes on $AdS_d \times S^{D-d}$, are composites of singleton, doubletons...fields [17]. The $SO(5)$ sigma models actions (8c) and the corresponding $SO(4)YM$ fields in eqs-(7a,7b) are based on *composite fields* ; i.e made out of the $n^i(x)$. New actions for all p -branes where the *analogs* of S and T duality symmetries were built in, already from the start, were given in [20] based on the composite antisymmetric tensor field theories of the volume-preserving diffs group of Guendelman, Nissimov, Pacheva and the local field theory reformulation of extended objects given by Aurilia, Spallucci and Smailagic [21]. This supports the idea that compositeness may be a crucial ingredient in the formulation of M theory.

Having described our reasons why W algebras seem to be of essential importance for M theory, we will construct the higher spin version of the BF-CS-Higgs action that generated AdS_4 as a natural vacuum solution in 4.1.

Vasiliev's construction of higher spin gauge theories and their couplings to higher spin matter currents on AdS spaces can be attained by introducing a suitable noncommutative but associative Vasiliev star product on an auxiliary (commuting) Grassmannian even " fermionic phase space " whose deformation parameter is the inverse length scale characterizing the size of AdS_4 's throat $\lambda = r^{-1}$.

The Vasiliev star product encoding the nonlinear and nonlocal higher spin fields dynamics is defined taking advantage of the local isomorphism $so(3, 2) \sim sp(4, R)$. It has the same form as the Baker integral representation of the star product :

$$(F * G)(Z, Y) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \int d^2u d^2\bar{u} d^2v d^2\bar{v} e^{i(u^\alpha v_\alpha - \bar{u}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{v}_{\dot{\alpha}})} F(Z + U, Y + U) G(Z - V, Y + V). \quad (82)$$

where the spinorial coordinates :

$$Z^m = (z^\alpha, \bar{z}^{\dot{\alpha}}). \quad Y_m = (y_\alpha, \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}}). \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2. \quad \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2.$$

yield a particular realization of the Weyl algebra :

$$[y_\alpha, y_\beta]_* = y_\alpha * y_\beta - y_\beta * y_\alpha = -[z_\alpha, z_\beta]_* = 2iC_{\alpha\beta}. \quad [y_\alpha, z_\beta]_* = 0, \dots$$

We must emphasize that one must *not* confuse Vasiliev's deformation of $SO(3, 2)$ with the Moyal deformation of $SU(N)$. One can introduce internal $SU(N)$ gauge symmetries via the Chan-Paton mechanism at the boundary of open strings. This is tantamount of introducing w_∞ algebras with internal $SU(N)$ symmetries [53]. The large N (infinite) colour limit of such algebras have been coined w_∞^∞ in [61] and correspond to symplectic diffeomorphisms in $D = 4$.

The Vasiliev-algebra valued one-form $W = dx^\mu W_\mu$ contains the master field W_μ that generates after a Taylor expansion all the higher massless spin gauge fields :

$$W = dx^\mu W_\mu = dx^\mu W_\mu(x|Z, Y, Q).$$

$$W_\mu(x|Z, Y, Q) = \sum W_{\mu, \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots}(x|Q) z^{\alpha_1} z^{\alpha_2} \dots y^{\beta_1} y^{\beta_2} \dots \quad (83)$$

where Q is a discrete set of Clifford variables (Klein operators) that anticommute with all spinorial coordinates.

The Vasiliev-algebra-valued field strengths $F(W)$ are :

$$F(W) = dW(x|Z, Y, Q) + W(x|Z, Y, Q) * \wedge W(x|Z, Y, Q). \quad (84)$$

The matter fields belong to the Vasiliev-algebra valued 0-forms : $\Phi(x|Z, Y, Q)$ and are the generalizations of the original Higgs scalars ϕ^A in the action (44) . There are Stueckelberg compensating spinor-valued fields $S_\alpha(x|Z, Y, Q)$ that carry only pure gauge degrees of freedom and act as covariant differentials along the fermionic Z directions. There are auxiliary fields as well in order to have *off*-shell realizations of Vasiliev star algebra $SO(3, 2)^*$. Auxiliary fields are required also to have off-shell realizations of Supersymmetry. The precise form of the auxiliary fields for these off-shell realizations of the higher spin algebras is unknown at the moment and lies beyond the scope of this work.

Nevertheless, we shall go ahead and just write down the Vasiliev star deformation of the original BF-CS-Higgs inspired action (44) that generated gravity with a cosmological constant , after a symmetry breaking :

$$S_{BF-CS}^* = \int dY dZ [\Phi * \wedge F(W) * \wedge F(W) + \Phi * \wedge d\Phi * \wedge d\Phi * \wedge d\Phi * \wedge d\Phi]. \quad (85)$$

the deformed Higgs potential is :

$$V_H^*(\Phi) = (\Phi * \Phi - v) * (\Phi * \Phi - v). \quad (86)$$

where one must add the contribution from the Stueckelberg and auxiliary fields as well and some over the discrete Clifford variables. The integration w.r.t the auxiliary spinorial variables plays a similar role of performing the internal " Trace " operation in ordinary YM theories. The integrand is a spacetime four-form . An integration of the above action

w.r.t the auxiliary fermionic variables will yield an *effective* action in AdS_4 that encodes the nonlinear dynamics of the infinite number of higher spin massless gauge fields . As previously said, Gauge theories based on the Virasoro and w_∞ algebras have been constructed in [37-39] . A similar integration w.r.t the internal degrees of freedom reproduces an spacetime effective action involving all the infinite modes associated with the Virasoro and w_∞ gauge fields [37-39]

A BF-CS-Higgs-YM based on $SU(N)$ can be constructed as well since , an $SU(N)$ internal symmetry can be introduced via the Chan-Paton mechanism at the end-points of open W_∞ strings. The Vasiliev fields are now *matrix*-valued : $W^{ij}(x|Z, Y, Q)$. The large N limit can be attained by the techniques of the previous sections leaving an effective 12-dim field theory (ignoring the discrete degrees of freedom associated with the Klein variables) :

$$W(x|Z, Y, Q|q^i, p^i). \quad (87)$$

There are 4 coordinates x^μ . The auxiliary fermionic variables amount to 4 degrees of freedom (fermions carry 1/2 of a boson). There are 4 degrees of freedom associated with (q^1, q^2, p^1, p^2) obtained from the star products deformation of $SU(N)_*$. We find it very encouraging that an effective $D = 12$ theory emerges very naturally from the large N limit of the Vasiliev matrix-valued algebra fields W^{ij} living in AdS_4 . $D = 12$ is the realm of Vafa's F theory and Bars S theory.

We stop at this point. A lot remains to be done. We close this work with a few interesting thoughts. The $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the $SO(2n, 1)$ σ -models studied in **2** is connected with the $D = 2n \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the Euclidean signature AdS_{2n} space. Interestingly enough, Zaikov has pointed out that in the $D = \infty$ limit, Chern-Simons p -branes acquire true **local** dynamics ! Infinite Dimensions based on a Hierarchy of infinite nested spaces will give us a unique vantage point : one master gauge field in infinite dimensions $\leftrightarrow$ infinite higher spin massless fields in lower dimensions.

Since Zaikov's Chern-Simons branes **are** High dimensional Knots , its relation to algebraic **K, L** theory has to be explored deeper [47] . The fact that an Effective $D = 12$ theory emerged from the large N limit of Vasiliev's matrix valued higher spin algebras , in connection to introducing $SU(\infty)$ Chan-Paton factors to the end-points of open W_∞ strings , warrants investigation. The infinite colour limit is given by algebra of symplectic diffs in four dimensions w_∞^∞ [61].

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank Euro Spallucci, Stefano Ansoldi, Antonio Aurilia, Alex Granik and George Chapline for many fruitful discussions at the early stages of this work; to M. Peskin for his assistance at SLAC, Stanford ; to E. Abdalla, M. Gomes, M. Sampaio , E. Valadar for their help at the Instute of Physics, Sao Paulo and the UFMG, Belo Horizonte. Special thanks goes to M. L Soares de Castro and M. Fernandes de Castro for their warm hospitality in Belo Horizonte, Brazil where this work was initiated.

References

1. B. Dolan, D.H Tchrakian : Phys. Letts **B 198** (4) (1987) 447.

2. B. Dolan, Tchrakian : Phys. Letts **B 202** (2) (1988) 211.
3. B. Feisager, J.M Leinass : Phys. Letts **B 94** (1980) 192.
4. C. Castro : "Remarks on Spinning Membrane Actions "hep-th/0007031
5. Lindstrom, Rocek : Phys. Letts **B 218** (1988) 207.
6. J. Maldacena : Adv. Theor. Math. Phys **2** (1998) 231. hep-th/9711200.
- J.L Petersen : "Introduction to the Maldacena Conjecture "hep-th/9902131.
7. N. Berkovits, C. Vafa , E. Witten : "Conformal Field Theory of AdS Background with Ramond-Ramond Flux" hep-th/9902098.
8. J. de Boer, S.L Shatashvili : "Two-dimensional Conformal Field Theory on AdS_{2n+1} Backgrounds ". hep-th/9905032.
9. A. Belavin, A.M Polyakov, A.S Schwarz and Y. S. Tyupkin : Phys. Letts **B 59** (1975) 85.
10. D.H Tchrakian : Jour. Math. Phys. **21** (1980) 166
- 11 R.P Zaikov : Phys. Letts **B 266** (1991) 303. Phys. Letts **B 263** (1991) 209.
- R.P Zaikov : Phys. Letts **B 211** (1988) 281.
- R.P Zaikov : "Chern-Simons p -Branes and p -dimensional Classical W Algebras ". hep-th/9304075.
12. Y. Ne'eman, E. Eizenberg : "Membranes and Other Extendons (p -branes) "World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics vol. **39** 1995.
- J. Hoppe : Ph.D Thesis MIT (1982).
13. E. Witten : Comm. Math. Phys. **121** (1989) 351.
- Nucl. Phys. **B 322** (1989) 629.
14. P. Bouwknegt, K. Schouetens : " W -symmetry in Conformal Field Theory " Phys. Reports **223** (1993) 183-276.
15. M. Vasiliev : " Higher Spin Gauge Theories, Star Product and AdS spaces " hep-th/9910096
- M. Vasiliev , S. Prokushkin : "3D Higher-Spin Gauge Theories with Matter". hep-th/9812242, hep-th/9806236.
16. E Sezgin, P. Sundell : "Higher Spin $N = 8$ Supergravity in AdS_4 ". hep-th/9805125; hep-th/9903020.
17. M. Duff : "Anti de Sitter Spaces, Branes, Singletons, Superconformal Field Theories and All That "hep-th 9808100.
- S. Ferrara, A. Zaffaroni : "Bulk Gauge Fields in AdS Supergravity and Supersingletons "hep-th/9807090.
- M. Flato, C. Fronsdal : Letts. Math. Phys. **44** (1998) 249.
- M. Gunaydin. D. Minic, M. Zagermann : "4D Doubleton Conformal Field Theories, CPT and IIB String on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. hep-th/9806042.
18. B. Fedosov : " Jou. Diff. Geometry **40** (1994) 213.
- C. Castro : "W-Geometry from Fedosov Deformation Quantization " Jour. Geometry and Physics **33** (2000) 173.
19. D. Fairlie : "Moyal Brackets in M theory "hep-th/9707190.
- Mod. Phys. Letts **A 13** (1998) 263
20. C. Castro : Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **A 13** (6) (1998) 1263.

21. E.I Guendelman, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva :
"Volume-Preserving Diffs versus Local gauge Symmetry : hep-th/9505128.
- H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva : Phys. Lett **B 255** (1991) 359.
- A. Aurilia, A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci : Phys. Rev. **D 47** (1993) 2536.
22. C. Castro : Jour. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **7** (5) (1996) 711.
- C. Castro : " Jour. Math. Phys. **34** (1993) 681.
- C. Castro : Phys Lett **B 288** (1992) 291.
23. E Nissimov, S. Pacheva , I. Vaysburd : " W_∞ Gravity, a Geometric Approach ".
hep-th/9207048.
24. G. Chapline : Jour. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **10** (2-3) (1999) 311.
- Mod. Phys. Lett **A 7** (1992) 1959. Mod. Phys. Lett **A 5** (1990) 2165.
25. C. Vafa : "Evidence for F Theory" hep-th/9602022
26. I. Bars : "Two times in Physics." hep-th/9809034.
27. P. Horava : "M Theory as a Holographic Field Theory " hep-th/9712130.
28. L. Smolin : "Chern-Simons theory in 11 dimensions
as a non-perturbative phase of M theory ".
29. M. Gomes, Y. K Ha : Physics Letts **145 B** (1984) 235.
- Phys. Rev. Lett **58** (23) (1987) 2390.
30. P. Tran-Ngoc-Bich : Private Communication.
31. H. Garcia-Compean, J. Plebanski, M. Przanowski : hep-th/9702046.
- "Geometry associated with SDYM and chiral approaches to Self Dual Gravity ". .
32. A. Connes, M. Douglas, A. Schwarz : "Noncommutative Geometry and
Matrix Theory : Compactification on Tori " hep-th/9711162.
- 33- S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci : Phys. Lett **B 504** (2001) 174.
- 34- S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci : Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) L 23.
- C. Castro : " Branes from Moyal Deformation quantization of GYM " hep-th/9908115.
- 35- S. Ansoldi, C. Castro, E. Spallucci : " A QCD Membrane "
- to appear in Class. Quan. Gravity. hep-th/0106028
- 36- Q.H. Park : Int. Jour. Mod. Phys **A 7** (1992) 1415.
- 37- Y.Cho, K, Soh, Q. Park, J. Yoon : Phys. Letts **B 286** (1992) 251. :
- 38- Y. Cho, W. Zoh : Phys. Review **D 46** (1992) 3483.
- 39- W. Zhao : Jour. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 4325.
- 40- L. Freidel, K. Krasnov : " BF deformation of Higher dimensional Gravity "
hep-th/9901069
- 41- F. Wilczek : Physical Review Letts **80** (1998) 4851.
- 42- E. Sezgin : " Area-preserving Diffs, w_∞ algebras , w_∞ gravity "
hep-th/9202080.
- E. Sezgin : " Aspects of W_∞ Symmetry " hep-th/9112025 .
- 43- C. Hull : Phys. Letts **B 269** (1991) 257.
- 44- Rodgers : " BF" hep-th/9203067
- 45- S. Korden : " W algebras from the AdS/CFT correspondence " hep-th/0011292
- 46- N. Ikeda : " Deformation of BF theories, Topological Open Membranes"
hep-th/0105286.

- 47-A. Ranicki : " High Dimensional Knots , Algebraic Surgery in Codimension Two " Springer Verlag , 1998.
- 48-A.Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramousino, F. Fulcito, M. Martellino, M. Rinaldi, A. Tanzini, M. Zeni : " Comm. Math. Phys. **197** (1998) 571 .
- 49- M. Fukuuma, S. Matsuura, T. Sakai : " Higher Derivative Gravity and the AdS/CFT correspondence " hep-th/0103187
- 50- T. Deroli , A. Verciu : Jour. Math. Phys **38** (11) (1997) 5515.
- E. Gozzi, M. Reuter : " Quantum Deformed Geometry of Phase Space " DESY-92-191.
- 51-E. Berghshoeff, A.Salam, E. Sezgin, Y. Tanii : Nuc. Phys. **B 305** (1988) 497.
- 52- H. Nicolai, E. Sezgin, Y. Tanii : Nuc. Phys. **B 305** (1988) 485 .
- 53- S. Odake, T. Sano : Phys. Letts **B 258** (1991) 369.
- 54-S. W. MacDowell, F. Mansouri : Phy. Rev. Let **38** (1977) 739.
- 55- A. Chamseddine, P. West : Nuc. Phys. **B 129** (1977) 39.
- 56-E. Guendelmann
- 57- C. Castro, E. Guendelmann, E. Spallucci : To appear.
- 58-I. Bakas. B. Khesin. E. Kiritsis : Comm. Math . Phy **151** (1993) 233.
- 59-K. Dasgupta, Z. Yin : " Nonabelian Geometry " hep-th/0011034
- 60- C. Pope, L. Romans, X. Shen : Nuc. Phys, **B 339** (1990) 191.
- 61-I. Bakas, E. Kiritsis : " Comon Trends in Mathematics and Physics " Eds. T. Eguchi,Prog. Theor. Physics Suppl. (1990)
- 62- I. Oda : Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **10** (2-3) (1999) 423.
- 63- G. Dito, M. Flato, D. Sternheimer, L. Takhtajan : " The deformation quantization of Nambu-Poisson mechanics " hep-th/9602016
- H. Awata, M. Li, G. Minic, T. Yoneya : " Quantizing the Nambu Poisson brackets " hep-th/9906248 .
- G. Minic : " M theory and Deformation Quantization " hep-th/9909022
- 64-M. Kontsevich : " Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds " q-alg/9709040
- 65-A. S. Cattaneo, G. Felder : math.QA/9902090.
- 66-N. Seiberg, E. Witten : JHEP 9909 (032) (1999) hep-th/9908142
- 67-J. Baez : Lectures Notes in Physics, Springer **543** (2000) 25-94.
- Class. Quan. Grav. **15** (1998) 1817.
- 68-J. de Boer : " The Holographic Renormalization Group " hep-th/0101026.
- 69- Y. M Cho , H. Lee, D. Park : " Faddeev-Niemi Conjecture and the Effective Action for QCD " hep-th/0105198.
- W. Bae, Y. M. Cho, S. Kimm : " QCD versus Skyreme-Faddeev Theory " hep-th/0105163
- 70- G. Chapline, K. Yamagishi : Class. Quan. Gravity **8** (1991) 427.
- 71- S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, C. Castro, E. Spallucci : in preparation